

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION

In re:	)	
	)	Case No. 17bk36268
JOSEPH J. PORADA,	)	
	)	Chapter 11
Debtor.	)	
	)	Honorable Timothy A. Barnes

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF ORDER  
AWARDING TO FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP, ATTORNEYS FOR DEBTOR, FOR  
ALLOWANCE AND PAYMENT OF FINAL COMPENSATION AND REIMBURSEMENT  
OF EXPENSES

TOTAL FEES REQUESTED:	\$ 119,609.87	TOTAL COSTS REQUESTED:	\$ 917.09
TOTAL FEES REDUCED:	\$ 9,056.00	TOTAL COSTS REDUCED:	\$ 8.00
TOTAL FEES ALLOWED:	\$ 110,553.87	TOTAL COSTS ALLOWED:	\$ 909.09

TOTAL FEES AND COSTS ALLOWED: \$ 111,462.96

Pursuant to the court's comments at the hearing on December 18, 2019 on the Final Application of Fox Rothschild LLP for Allowance and Payment of Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses and for Related Relief [Dkt. No. 127] (the "Application"); the court having heard from Fox Rothschild LLP ("Fox") and the Debtor and having considered the Debtor's Objection to the Application [Dkt. No. 131] (the "Objection") and all related filings to the Application and the Objection; the Objection further only being germane to the Application and not to the prior services previously and finally approved in the absence of an objection;<sup>1</sup>

THE COURT HEREBY FINDS AND ORDERS THAT:

Attached to this Order is an annotated copy of the invoices submitted by Fox in support of the Application. Where an entry is not annotated, the court finds that the entry meets the requirements for reimbursement under 11 U.S.C. § 330. Where the attached time and expense entries have been underlined, such annotations reflect disallowance in whole or in part. The basis for each disallowance is reflected by numerical notations that appear on the right of each underlined entry. The numerical notations correspond to the enumerated paragraphs below.

---

<sup>1</sup> No party objected to the previous applications of counsel, which were filed by Shaw Fishman Glantz & Towbin LLC ("Shaw") and Fox, successor to Shaw via merger. Nonetheless, the court has reviewed and determined the propriety of all prior applications and reduced them in accordance with the applicable standards and practices of this court. *See* Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Order Granting Compensation for Shaw Fishman Glantz & Towbin LLC, Debtor's Attorney [Dkt. No. 64]; Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Order Granting Compensation for Fox Rothschild LLP, Debtor's Attorney [Dkt. No. 87].

(1) Unreasonable Time – TOTAL of disallowed amounts: \$ 3,234.00

The majority<sup>2</sup> of the Objection concerns the reasonableness of Fox's discretion in billing the bankruptcy estate for certain tasks performed. The court always examines applications before it for reasonableness and denies compensation for a task to the extent that a professional or paraprofessional expended an unreasonable amount of time on the task in light of the nature of the task, the experience and knowledge of the professional performing the task, and the amount of time previously expended by the professional or another on the task. *In re Pettibone*, 74 B.R. 293, 306 (Bankr. N.D. Ill. 1987) (Schmetterer, J.) ("The Court will determine what is the reasonable amount of time an attorney should have to spend on a given project. . . . An attorney should not be rewarded for inefficiency. Similarly, attorneys will not be fully compensated for spending an unreasonable number of hours on activities of little benefit to the estate."); *In re Wildman*, 72 B.R. 700, 713 (Bankr. N.D. Ill. 1987) (Schmetterer, J.) (same).

Here, the Debtor argues that many tasks should have been billed or performed at a lower cost based on the outcome or current status of the task performed. There are many ways the court examines applications for compensation and the court has repeatedly informed those that practice in front of the court that the court sometimes, absent an objection, is focused on the trees and not the forest—meaning the court looks for issues with respect to individual time entries or categories under the standards applied in this court (lumping, unallowable costs, time increments, etc.). This is due to the nature of interim versus final applications and the ability of the court to use hindsight in the final application.

Hindsight and the knowledge of outcome, however, are not always a great determiner of reasonableness of attorney's compensation. A court must not attempt to determine the reasonableness of time spent by an attorney by looking at the matter solely in hindsight or determining reasonableness by the outcome of the issue or matter. *Brandt v. Schal Associates, Inc.*, 131 F.R.D. 485, 493 *on reconsideration in part*, 131 F.R.D. 512 (N.D. Ill. 1990) *aff'd*, 960 F.2d 640 (7th Cir. 1992) ("[I]t would be entirely inappropriate for this Court to hold that the expenditure of time by defense counsel in this area was unreasonable based on any such retrospective and result-oriented approach."); *Konal v. PaineWebber Hous. & Healthcare Funding, Inc.*, 128 F.R.D. 654, 657 (N.D. Ill. 1989) ("No court, however experienced in the law practice the judge may have been before taking the bench, can feel comfortable with trying to reconstruct the reasonableness of fees viewed from the outside and in hindsight."); *Hamer v. Lake Cnty.*, 819 F.2d 1362, 1367 (7th Cir. 1987) ("[I]t is important that a district court resist the understandable temptation to engage in *post hoc* reasoning by concluding that, because a plaintiff did not ultimately prevail, his action must have been unreasonable or without foundation. This kind of hindsight logic could discourage all but the most airtight claims, for seldom can a prospective plaintiff be sure of ultimate success."); *In re Drexel Burnham Lambert Group, Inc.*, 133 B.R. 13, 23 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1991) (In determining reasonableness, "the Court must not penalize attorneys by viewing the efforts of counsel with the benefit of '20/20 hindsight.' . . . [H]ours for an activity or project should be disallowed only where a Court is convinced

---

<sup>2</sup> The Objection and the reply in support thereof [Dkt. No. 128] (the "Reply"), outline many arguments, but some of the arguments are actually more commentary which the court need not address. For this reason, the court will not consider the Debtor's arguments considering the frugality of the Debtor, the discussion about the Debtor's personality and view on lawyers/litigation and the Debtor's discussion of the view of other parties' concerning the Application because no other parties have objected to the Application.

it is readily apparent that no reasonable attorney should have undertaken that activity or project or where the time devoted was excessive.”).

In this case, the Objection focuses on three tasks that the Debtor views as unreasonable. The court has examined the Application in its entirety and in light of the Objection, and the court will address each of the tasks, in turn:

- i. Operating Reports: In the Application, Fox seeks \$7,286.00 for the filing of operating reports. 10 operating reports were filed by Fox during the Application period for an average of \$728.60/operating report.

The Debtor argues that Fox’s fees for preparation of operating reports are not reasonable and that Fox, as a larger firm than Debtor’s current counsel, should have used better discretion in billing a smaller amount for this task. Further, Debtor’s current counsel argues that he is charging only \$200.00 per report.

In fact, many of the Debtor’s arguments are attacks on Fox’s billing practices predicated on the allegations of the Debtor’s current counsel that he could have performed the work in a less expensive manner. However, Fox and the Debtor’s current counsel’s firm are two very different sized firms and charge different rates for their work performed. This part of the Objection appears, in large part, to be an attempt to re-trade on the Debtor’s choice of Shaw and then Fox to represent him. The Debtor should not be permitted to avail himself of the services of a firm of Shaw’s and Fox’s nature and then later bargain down the cost of such services to the rates of a smaller firm. The Debtor chose Shaw and continued to work with counsel when Shaw merged into Fox. The Debtor did not object to any of the prior applications of Shaw or Fox and was aware through those applications and the billing of Shaw prior to the commencement of this case of the costs that followed the Debtor’s choice. That the Debtor’s current counsel may charge less does not mean that the amounts billed by Fox for work performed are unreasonable.

The court does not view the cost of \$728.60 per report for the Application period as unreasonable. Counsel has a duty to ensure the accuracy and completeness of the reports and the propriety of the disclosures contained therein<sup>3</sup> before filing them, and has a duty to advise clients regarding the same. The amount sought per report equates to 2-4 hours per report (2+ for partner rates and 4 for associate rates in this case), which seems appropriate in light of the complexity of this case to complete such review and communications with the Debtor. For these reasons, the amounts charged by Fox in preparing operating reports are reasonable amounts and the court will not reduce the Application on this basis.

- ii. The Debtor’s adversary against Robert Fisher: In the Application, Fox seeks \$37,064.50 for work done in connection with the Debtor’s adversary proceeding

---

<sup>3</sup> The need to review such reports is underscored by the operating report filed by Debtor’s current counsel. It contains a bank statement of the Debtor which contains personal information. More time spent reviewing the operating report would likely have helped avoid this inadvertent disclosure and the cost associated with fixing this issue through a motion to redact, which the court expects counsel to file forthwith.

against Robert Fisher (the “Fisher Adversary”). The Fisher Adversary seeks to recover funds allegedly owed to the Debtor via alleged interests in real property. Fox argues that it was required to research whether the alleged notes that the Debtor held against Fisher were able to be foreclosed on as “there is no mortgage or any document containing a granting clause whereby Mr. Fisher expressly granted a lien to the Debtor.” Therefore, Fox argues it had to research whether the notes would qualify as a mortgage under IL law. Fox states that it received permission from the Debtor to do the required research before filing the adversary complaint.

In his Reply, the Debtor again argues that because of the size of Fox, someone should have known the law in this area and no research should have been done more than to “freshen up” their knowledge. This is an unreasonable position. No matter the size of a firm, there is no guarantee that the firm will have an expert well versed in every nuance of every law. Absent a well-founded reason to consider otherwise, the court considers research into applicable law a category for which compensation may be sought in this context.

The question remains whether the time spent is reasonable. The court reviewed the time entries in question and does not find any unreasonable work or research performed under the facts of this case and in light of the arguments of the parties. The court therefore declines to reduce the Application for work performed by Fox on the Fisher Adversary as unreasonable.

- iii. The sale of the Debtor’s condominium: The Debtor’s only postpetition recovery from liquidation of assets is the \$125,000.00 sale of a condominium that is owned by a trust to which the Debtor is the sole beneficiary. The Debtor abandoned the condominium almost 20 years ago and the condominium association has been in possession and managed it since June 1999. Shaw and Fox worked through the unwinding of the rights of the Debtor and the condominium association with respect to the condominium and in February 2019, during the period of work that the Application seeks compensation for, the Debtor sold the condo for \$125,000.00. The Debtor also recovered \$45,000.00 from funds held by the condominium association for the time it managed the condominium.

In the Application, Fox seeks \$25,380.50 for its work done to close the sale. In supporting the compensation sought for this task, Fox argues that much more work had to be done to close the sale of the condominium than a normal sale (or more than the Debtor remembers) because the condominium association continued to assert legal fees in this case, including in the closing sale statements, and that this threatened the sale, causing much more negotiations to occur. Further, Fox claims that the Debtor was not responding to the court-approved broker and thus Fox continually had to contact the Debtor and act as an intermediary in order to close the sale. Fox did not charge for all of these “intermediary” communications, but some were charged as they were due to the Debtor’s failure to respond to the broker. Last, Fox argues that the Debtor was to unresponsive to Fox as well, causing many more communications to occur than necessary.

The Debtor argues that Fox, as a large firm, and “whose bankruptcy section should not have been re-inventing the wheel to prepare for and bring a small value condominium transaction to closing, ... should not be awarded fees so wholly out of touch with the condominium closing market.” The Debtor, however, points to no time entries are unreasonable on their face, only that the total amount charged is too high in relation to the recovery. Ultimately, the Debtor argues that the fees seem unreasonable in the Debtor’s current counsel’s opinion, but that it is the court’s opinion that counts.

The court agrees that the amount sought by Fox for the sale of the condominium are high in relation to the recovery, but cautions that any recovery at all given the Debtor’s abandonment is a benefit to the estate.

The court has examined the Application with respect to the Debtor’s arguments about communication with the Debtor and the court declines to reduce the Application for this portion of the condominium sale task because the comments from Fox regarding the Debtor’s communication, or lack thereof, are supported by the record of this case. The Debtor’s failure to communicate with the broker and to selectively respond to certain parties at Fox caused needless layers of communication and those layers compound fees.

Having reviewed all of the billing by Fox in this category, the court finds only one area of the condominium sale task where Fox’s fees appear unreasonable. For the work done in the actual writing and filing of the motion to sale—not considering any other work such as negotiations with the Debtor, the condominium association and the buyer or the investigation of the rights of the preceding—Fox seeks \$6,468.00. This is extraordinary even in light of the challenges of this particular transaction. For this reason, the court reduces all entries for the drafting and resolution of the motion to sell by 50%. This results in a \$3,234.00 reduction in the Application.

(2) Computational or Typographical Error – TOTAL of disallowed amounts: \$ 2,447.00

The court denies the allowance of compensation for the following tasks because the amount of fees appears to be a computational or typographical error. Also, where there are two identical entries (same day, same tasks, same time billed), the court will consider one of the entries to be a typographical error.

The court finds one entry that appears to be a duplicate and many entries where, without explanation, different counsel billed different times for attending the same conferences. In such instances, the court always reduces all inconsistent time entries to the lowest time billed for the conference and has done so here.

(3) Local Travel – TOTAL of disallowed amounts: \$ 1,617.00 in compensation and \$8.00 in expenses

As the court has done in previous applications in this case, the court declines to compensate counsel for the time it travels to the court for hearings. The court will not allow the \$1,617.00

sought by Mr. Banich for such time at his full rate and will again caution him that seeking compensation for local, in town travel time to court is not permissible in this court.

As the Court also denies the allowance of reimbursement for expenses that were not actually and necessarily incurred by the applicant, *see* 11 U.S.C. §§ 330(a)(1)(B) & 331, the court also denies the costs for such travel as no support was offered to demonstrate the necessity for taking paid transportation under the circumstances (bringing exhibits to court, weather, etc.). As the Application did not state any such circumstances, the \$8.00 for a local taxi is not allowed.

(4) No Benefit to the Estate – TOTAL of disallowed amounts: \$ 637.00

The court also denies requests for fees relating to services that do not benefit the estate or that are not necessary to the administration of the case. 11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(4)(A). In this circumstance and throughout cases before this court, the court does not approve expenses for counsel reconciling their fees with their client or in taking actions solely with respect to their own compensation. Such is a benefit to the counsel, not the bankruptcy estate. *Baker Botts LLP v. ASARCO LLC*, 135 S. Ct. 2158, 2167 (2015).

(5) Overhead Costs are Non-Compensable – TOTAL of disallowed amounts: \$ 271.00

The Court denies reimbursement for fees or expenses that are overhead costs. The determination of who would cover a hearing is a business task and is incidental to the personnel and management of a business. The bankruptcy estate should not be required to pay for counsel to manage their schedules.

(6) Insufficient Description – TOTAL of disallowed amounts: \$ 850.00

The Court denies the allowance of compensation for the indicated task(s) as the description of each task fails to identify in a reasonable manner the service rendered. *In re Pettibone*, 74 B.R. 293, 301 (Bankr. N.D. Ill. 1987) (Schmetterer, J.) (“A proper fee application must list each activity, its date, the attorney who performed the work, a description of the nature and substance of the work performed, and the time spent on the work. [Citation omitted] Records which give no explanation of the activities performed are not compensable.”); *In re Wildman*, 72 B.R. 700, 708-09 (Bankr. N.D. Ill. 1987) (Schmetterer, J.) (same).

In this case, generic time entry regarding closing matters do not provide the detail required to allow the court to determine what was done to benefit the bankruptcy estate.

Dated: December 23, 2019

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Timothy A. Barnes  
United States Bankruptcy Judge



**Fox Rothschild** LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

321 N. Clark St., Suite 1600, Chicago, IL 60654  
Tel 312.517.9200 Fax 312.517.9201 www.foxrothschild.com

TAX I.D. NO. 23-1404723

JOSEPH PORADA, M.D.  
640 W. 57TH ST.  
CLARENDON HILLS, IL 60525

Invoice Number 2443160  
Invoice Date 10/18/19  
Client Number 250172  
Matter Number 00001

RE: CHAPTER 11 BANKRULPTCY

**FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RENDERED THROUGH 09/30/19:**

Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount
<b><u>COMMUNICATIONS WITH US TRUSTEE</u></b>				
01/31/19	TGB	REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE FROM S. WOLFE RE COMMENTS TO BAR-DATE MOTION (.1); DISCUSSED SAME WITH A. GUON (N/C).	0.1	\$49.00
02/05/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH S. WOLFE RE BAR-DATE MOTION AND MOTION TO SELL CONDO AND TERMS OF SAME.	0.2	\$98.00
03/13/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH S. WOLFE RE COMMENTS TO FEE APPLICATION.	0.3	\$147.00
05/30/19	TGB	REVIEWED AND EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE RE OUTSTANDING UST FEES.	0.1	\$49.00
07/11/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH S. WOLFE RE REQUESTED EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT.	0.2	\$103.00
07/15/19	TGB	DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO S. WOLFE RE AMENDED MOTION TO EXTEND TIME.	0.1	\$51.50
07/16/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH S. WOLFE RE AMENDED MOTION TO EXTEND TIME AND BASIS FOR SAME.	0.4	\$206.00
08/20/19	TGB	REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE FROM US TRUSTEE'S OFFICE RE FIRST MIDWEST BANK ACCOUNT.	0.1	\$51.50
09/10/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH S. WOLFE OF US TRUSTEE'S OFFICE RE DEBTOR'S TERMINATION OF REPRESENTATION.	0.2	\$103.00
<b>SUBTOTAL: COMMUNICATIONS WITH US TRUSTEE</b>			<b>1.7</b>	<b>\$858.00</b>

Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount
<b><u>COMMUNICATIONS/MEETINGS WITH DEBTOR</u></b>				
01/02/19	TGB	DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE UPDATE RE CONDO WORK.	0.1	\$49.00
01/03/19	TGB	REVIEWED MESSAGE FROM J. PORADA..	0.1	\$49.00
01/04/19	TGB	DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE CONDO, SUGGESTED LIST PRICE AND PAYMENT OF CONTRACTORS.	0.2	\$98.00
01/07/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. PORADA RE FISHER ADVERSARY AND MEETING TO DISCUSS SAME AND PLAN PROCESS.	0.6	\$294.00
01/08/19	TGB	DRAFTED LENGTHY CORRESPONDENCE AND ANALYSIS TO J. PORADA RE POTENTIAL FORECLOSURE CLAIM AND SUMMARIZE TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH FISHER'S LAWYER.	0.8	\$392.00
01/09/19	TGB	REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE FROM J. PORADA RE JANUARY 12 STRATEGY MEETING.	0.1	\$49.00
01/10/19	TGB	DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE AGENDA FOR JANUARY 12 MEETING.	0.1	\$49.00
01/11/19	TGB	EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. PORADA RE JANUARY 12 MEETING (.1); DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE FISHER SETTLEMENT OFFER (.2); PREPARED FOR JANUARY 12 MEETING J. PORADA (.5).	0.8	\$392.00
01/12/19	TGB	CONTINUED PREPARING FOR JANUARY 12 MEETING J. PORADA (.5); MET WITH J. PORADA RE CHAPTER 11 PLAN PROCESS GOING FORWARD AND RELATED MATTERS (2.0).	2.5	\$1,225.00
01/15/19	TGB	DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE CONDO OFFER AND RELATED MATTERS.	0.3	\$147.00
01/16/19	TGB	DRAFTED LENGTHY EXPLANATORY CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE AMENDED FISHER COMPLAINT AND STRATEGIES IN CONNECTION WITH SAME.	1.1	\$539.00
01/17/19	TGB	DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE ESTIMATED VALUE OF FISHER PROPERTY.	0.1	\$49.00
01/17/19	PF	PHONE CALL AND CONFIRMATION EMAIL TO DR. PORADA REGARDING NEED FOR RESPONSE TO OFFER AND EMAIL TO CONFIRM HIS COUNTER OFFER ON CONDO SALE (.4). CONFER WITH DR. PORADA REGARDING NEED FOR APPROVAL OF DECEMBER OPERATING REPORT (.1).	0.5	\$115.00
01/18/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH M. ORTEGA RE CONDO COUNTEROFFER AND TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. PORADA RE SAME.	0.4	\$196.00



Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount
01/22/19	TGB	DRAFTED LENGTHY EXPLANATORY CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE AMENDED FISHER COMPLAINT AND STRATEGIES RE SAME.	0.6	\$294.00
01/23/19	TGB	REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE FROM J. PORADA RE FISHER REAL ESTATE HOLDINGS IN WISCONSIN.	0.1	\$49.00
01/24/19	TGB	DRAFTED ANALYSIS OF RFREINV, LLC ISSUES AND POTENTIAL STRATEGIES VIS A VIS FISHER LITIGATION TO J. PORADA.	0.7	\$343.00
01/25/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCES WITH J. PORADA RE CONDO SALE NEGOTIATIONS AND RELATED MATTERS (.4); EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. PORADA RE NEW FISHER SETTLEMENT OFFER (.2); EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. PORADA RE ANALYSIS OF RFREINV, LLC REAL ESTATE HOLDINGS IN WISCONSIN (.1).	0.7	\$343.00
01/28/19	TGB	DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA ATTACHING LATEST INVOICES FROM COUNTRY ACRES (.1); DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE FILED BAR DATE MOTION (.2); REVIEWED AND EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. PORADA RE COUNTY ACRES' IMPOSITION OF ITS LEGAL FEES ON HIM AND OBJECTING TO SAME (.5).	0.8	\$392.00
01/29/19	TGB	REVIEWED TRANSCRIPT OF COUNTRY ACRES' 2004 EXAMINATION RE ASSESSMENT OF LEGAL FEES TO J. PORADA AND DRAFTED ANALYSIS OF SAME TO J. PORADA (.9); DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE LEGAL FEES ASSESSED BY CONDO ASSOCIATION (.1); TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. PORADA RE CONDO SALE, SALE MOTION, COUNTY ACRES LEGAL FEES ASSESSMENT DISPUTE, FISHER LITIGATION AND PLAN PROCESS (.5).	1.5	\$735.00
01/31/19	TGB	DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA REQUESTING APPROVAL OF DRAFT SALE MOTION AND RELATED MATTERS (N/C);	0.1	NO CHARGE
02/01/19	TGB	REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE FROM J. PORADA RE CONDO SALE AND DISCUSSION WITH M. ORTEGA (.2); DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RESPONDING TO SAME AND REQUESTING APPROVAL OF SALE MOTION (.8); DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE FISHER LITIGATION UPDATE/STRATEGIES, AND VIS PENDENS RECORDATION (.2); DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE SALE MOTION (.1).	1.3	\$637.00
02/04/19	TGB	DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE SAME AND SUGGESTED STRATEGIES WITH REGARD TO COUNTRY ACRES FEE	0.6	\$294.00

Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount
		DISPUTE.		
02/07/19	TGB	DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE ATTORNEY REVIEW LETTER FROM CONDO BUYER AND NEXT STEPS (.2); REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE FROM J. GOLDSTONE TO J. PORADA RE ISSUES NEEDING RESPONSES FOR RESPONSE TO ATTORNEY REVIEW LETTER (.2).	0.4	\$196.00
02/07/19	JLG	DRAFT RESPONSE COMMENTS AND EMAIL TO CLIENT RE: RESPONSE TO ATTORNEY REVIEW AND INSPECTION ISSUES.	0.5	\$212.50
02/08/19	JLG	TC TO DR. PORADA TO REVIEW ATTORNEY REVIEW ISSUES AND BUYER'S INSPECTION REQUESTS.	0.1	\$42.50
02/11/19	TGB	REVIEWED J. GOLDSTONE CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE SAME (.2); DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE UPDATES AND NEXT STEPS IN BANKRUPTCY CASE (.7).	0.9	\$441.00
02/11/19	JLG	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE TO DR. PORADA RE: ATTORNEY REVIEW LETTER. LEFT VOICEMAIL	0.1	\$42.50
02/11/19	JLG	DRAFT AND SEND EMAIL TO DR. PORADA RE: ATTORNEY REVIEW LETTER RESPONSES.	0.2	\$85.00
02/12/19	TGB	REVIEWED J. GOLDSTONE'S CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE ATTORNEY REVIEW LETTER.	0.1	\$49.00
02/14/19	TGB	DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE UPDATES RE CONDO SALE ORDER AND FISHER ADVERSARY.	0.3	\$147.00
02/20/19	TGB	DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA ANALYZING FISHER SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS AND REQUESTING INSTRUCTIONS RE SAME.	0.2	\$98.00
02/22/19	TGB	DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA REQUESTING THOUGHTS ON J. SHIMOTAKE'S EMAIL RE FISHER ADVERSARY/SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS.	0.1	\$49.00
02/24/19	TGB	REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE FROM J. PORADA RE J. SHIMOTAKE'S EMAIL RE FISHER ADVERSARY/SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS.	0.1	\$49.00
02/26/19	TGB	DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE SUGGESTED RESOLUTION OF DISPUTE WITH CACHA RE PASSED THROUGH LEGAL FEES ON PAID ASSESSMENT LETTER (.5); REVIEWED J. PORADA'S RESPONSE THERETO (.1); DRAFTED RESPONSE TO J. PORADA'S EMAIL SUGGESTING STRATEGIES WITH REGARD TO CACHA ASSERTED LEGAL FEES (.4).	1.0	\$490.00
02/27/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. PORADA	0.7	\$343.00

Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount
		RE SUGGESTED RESOLUTION OF DISPUTE WITH CACHA RE PASSED THROUGH LEGAL FEES ON PAID ASSESSMENT LETTER AND RE STRATEGIES IN FISHER ADVERSARY PROCEEDING (.6); DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA CONFIRMING DECISIONS WITH REGARD TO CACHA ASSERTED LEGAL FEES AND FISHER ADVERSARY (.1).		
03/04/19	TGB	REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE FROM J. PORADA RE VARIOUS MATTERS (.2); DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE POINTS IN EARLIER EMAIL AND ATTACHING INVOICE AND DRAFT FEE APPLICATION (.8).	1.0	\$490.00
03/08/19	TGB	EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. PORADA AND J. GOLDSTONE RE CLOSING DATE (.2); DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE UPDATES (.3).	0.5	\$245.00
03/14/19	TGB	DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE ANALYSIS, SUGGESTED NEXT STEPS OF FISHER'S ANSWER TO AMENDED COMPLAINT AND SETTLEMENT OFFER RECEIVED.	0.3	\$147.00
03/15/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. PORADA RE STATUS AND STRATEGIES FOR CHAPTER 11 CASE, CONDO SALE, FISHER ADVERSARY, FEE APPLICATION AND PLAN PROCESS.	0.7	\$343.00
03/18/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. PORADA RE CONDO SALE PROCEEDS, FEE APPLICATION RESOLUTION AND FISHER ADVERSARY PROCEEDING (.7); DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA CONFIRMING AGREEMENTS RE SAME (.3).	1.0	\$490.00
03/19/19	TGB	REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE FROM J. PORADA RE FISHER ADVERSARY.	0.1	\$49.00
03/22/19	TGB	DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE FEES PAYABLE TO FIRM AND AMOUNT REFUNDABLE TO HIM FOLLOWING CONDO SALE, AND CALCULATION OF SAME.	0.5	\$245.00
04/03/19	TGB	REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE FROM J. PORADA RE VARIOUS MATTERS AND NEXT STEPS.	0.1	\$49.00
04/05/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. PORADA RE STATUS OF VARIOUS MATTERS.	0.8	\$392.00
04/12/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. PORADA RE VARIOUS MATTERS AND NEXT STEPS.	0.5	\$245.00
04/15/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. PORADA RE TAX ISSUES AND FISHER ADVERSARY.	0.2	\$98.00
04/26/19	TGB	DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE FISHER ADVERSARY.	0.1	\$49.00
05/13/19	TGB	DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA REQUESTING DIRECTION RE FISHER	0.1	\$49.00

Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount	
		ADVERSARY IN ADVANCE OF STATUS HEARING.			
05/14/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. PORADA RE FISHER ADVERSARY, STRATEGIES AND NEXT STEPS.	0.3	\$147.00	
05/21/19	TGB	DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE MAY 25 HEARING (.2); DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE RESULTS OF HEARING AND DEADLINES SET BY COURT (.2); TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. PORADA RE SAME (.2).	0.6	\$294.00	
05/25/19	SBT	MEETING IN NORTHBROOK OFFICE WITH CLIENT AND T. BANICH TO REVIEW CLIENT OPTION OF CONVERTING CASE TO CHAPTER 7 OR PROCEEDING TO TRY AND CONFIRM PLAN AND TO DISCUSS COSTS, BURDENS AND BENEFITS OF EACH COURSE OF ACTION.	1.5	\$1,125.00	
05/25/19	TGB	MET WITH J. PORADA AND S. TOWBIN RE POTENTIAL CHAPTER 11 PLAN AND STRATEGIES GOING FORWARD.	1.5	\$735.00	
06/02/19	TGB	REVIEWED AND EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE RE FURTHER STRATEGY MEETING WITH J. PORADA.	0.2	\$103.00	
<u>06/11/19</u>	<u>TGB</u>	<u>MET WITH J. PORADA AND S. TOWBIN RE PLAN CONCEPTS, STRATEGIES AND TASKS.</u>	<u>1.7</u>	<u>\$875.50</u>	(2) Typo - reduced to 1.3 per Towbin time for same conference
06/12/19	SBT	PARTICIPATE IN CLIENT MEETING TO DISCUSS PLAN AND RELATED ISSUES.	1.3	\$1,040.00	
06/12/19	TGB	DRAFTED SUMMARY OF SETTLEMENT POINTS RE FISHER ADVERSARY FOR J. PORADA'S REVIEW AND APPROVAL.	0.9	\$463.50	
06/18/19	TGB	DRAFTED RESPONSE TO J. PORADA RE FISHER SETTLEMENT ANALYSIS.	0.9	\$463.50	
06/19/19	TGB	DRAFTED ANALYSIS FOR J. PORADA OF FISHER SETTLEMENT TERMS IN LIGHT OF TERMS OF ASSIGNMENT INSTRUMENT, AND REVIEWED J. PORADA'S RESPONSE TO SAME.	0.6	\$309.00	
06/25/19	TGB	REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE FROM J. PORADA ASKING VARIOUS QUESTIONS (.5); REVIEWED DRAFT INVOICE AND PRIOR CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. PORADA TO PREPARE ANSWERS TO SAME (.6); DRAFTED LENGTHY RESPONSE TO J. PORADA'S EMAIL OF JUNE 23 (1.5); REVIEWED RFREINV, LLC OPERATING AGREEMENT AND AMENDMENT THERETO IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING RESPONSE TO J. PORADA EMAIL OF JUNE 19 RE FISHER SETTLEMENT TERMS (.3); DRAFTED RESPONSE TO J. PORADA EMAIL OF JUNE 19 RE FISHER SETTLEMENT TERMS (.4); REVIEWED J. PORADA'S RESPONSE THERETO AND CONSIDERED NEXT STEPS (.1).	3.4	\$1,751.00	
06/26/19	TGB	DRAFTED AND EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. GOLDSTONE RE	0.5	\$257.50	

Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount
		ANSWERS TO J. PORADA'S QUESTIONS RE CERTAIN LINE ITEMS ON CLOSING STATEMENT FOR CONDO (.2); DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE SAME (.1); DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA ACKNOWLEDGING HIS DIRECTION TO CONVEY SETTLEMENT TERMS TO R. FISHER (.1); DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA FORWARDING SETTLEMENT CORRESPONDENCE TO R. FISHER'S COUNSEL (.1).		
07/01/19	TGB	DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA REQUESTING UPDATE RE SECTION 1129(A)(15) CALCULATIONS.	0.1	\$51.50
07/09/19	TGB	DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE STATUS OF FISHER SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS (.1); DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE DRAFT CHAPTER 11 PLAN (.3).	0.4	\$206.00
07/11/19	TGB	DRAFTED AND EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. PORADA RE FILED MOTION TO EXTEND TIME, IMPORTANCE OF FILING THOSE PAPERS WITHIN THE COURT-ORDERED DEADLINE AND FISHER LITIGATION.	0.4	\$206.00
07/12/19	TGB	DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE DISCUSSIONS WITH J. WORTHEN RE TAX ISSUES.	0.3	\$154.50
07/15/19	TGB	DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE SURGERY AND REQUEST FOR 60-DAY EXTENSION OF TIME (.3); DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME (.1).	0.4	\$206.00
07/17/19	TGB	DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE RESULTS OF HEARING.	0.6	\$309.00
08/27/19	TGB	DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA REQUESTING COMMENTS/DIRECTION RE PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT.	0.2	\$103.00
09/03/19	TGB	REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE FROM J. PORADA RE PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT ISSUES (.3); DRAFTED LENGTH RESPONSES TO SAME (.5).	0.8	\$412.00
09/10/19	TGB	REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE FROM J. PORADA TERMINATING REPRESENTATION (.1); DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE TRANSITION OF REPRESENTATION (.6).	0.7	\$360.50
<b>SUBTOTAL: COMMUNICATIONS/MEETINGS WITH DEBTOR</b>			<b>42.0</b>	<b>\$21,438.00</b>
<b><u>COUNTRY ACRES MATTERS</u></b>				
01/02/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH M. ORTEGA RE CONDO REFRESH/REPAIR/SALE (.3); EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH M.	0.5	\$245.00

Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount
		ORTEGA RE SAME (.2);		
01/04/19	TGB	REVIEWED 3D TOUR OF REFRESHED CONDO.	0.1	\$49.00
01/07/19	TGB	REVIEWED CONDO LISTING DOCUMENTS (.6); REVIEWED DETAILED UPDATE CORRESPONDENCE FROM M. ORTEGA (.3); LENGTHY TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH M. ORTEGA RE SAME, POTENTIAL BUYER AND MARKETING STRATEGIES (.7).	1.6	\$784.00
01/08/19	TGB	REVIEWED LENGTHY REPORT FROM M. ORTEGA RE MARKETING OF CONDO AND REVIEWED ATTACHED CONSTRUCTION INVOICES FOR REFRESH WORK.	0.3	\$147.00
01/12/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH M. ORTEGA RE CONDO MARKETING AND POTENTIAL NEW OFFER.	0.3	\$147.00
01/14/19	TGB	DRAFTED LETTER TO M. ORTEGA ENCLOSING CHECK FOR CONSTRUCTION WORK.	0.2	\$98.00
01/15/19	TGB	REVIEWED COUNTRY ACRES' 2018 ANNUAL REPORT (.1); TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH M. ORTEGA RE OFFER RECEIVED FOR CONDO AND RELATED MATTERS (.3).	0.4	\$196.00
01/17/19	TGB	CONFERRED WITH P. FREDERICKS RE HER DISCUSSIONS WITH J. PORADA RE CONDO COUNTEROFFER (.2); TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH M. ORTEGA RE POTENTIAL NEW OFFER FOR CONDO (.3); REVIEWED M. ORTEGA'S CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE CONDO OFFERS (.2); REVIEWED AND EDITED P. FREDERICKS' CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE AUTHORITY TO NEGOTIATE FOR SALE OF CONDO (.2).	0.9	\$441.00
01/17/19	PF	MANY CONVERSATIONS WITH T. BANICH REGARDING OFFER RECEIVED ON CONDO AND NEED FOR RESPONSE FROM DR. PORADA.	0.4	\$92.00
01/18/19	TGB	REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE FROM M. ORTEGA RE BUYERS' RESPONSE TO J. PORADA'S COUNTEROFFER, AND ADVICE RE RESPONSE TO SAME.	0.1	\$49.00
01/18/19	PF	REVIEW EMAIL FROM T. BANICH REGARDING RESPONSE TO CONDO OFFER BY DR. PORADA (.1) RESPOND TO SAME (.1).	0.2	\$46.00
01/21/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH M. ORTEGA RE STATUS OF NEGOTIATIONS WITH POTENTIAL CONDO BUYER.	0.1	\$49.00
01/22/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH M. ORTEGA RE STATUS OF NEGOTIATIONS WITH POTENTIAL CONDO BUYER.	0.3	\$147.00
01/22/19	PF	CONFER WITH T. BANICH REGARDING OFFER ON CONDO.	0.2	\$46.00

Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount
01/23/19	TGB	MULTIPLE TELEPHONE CONFERENCES WITH M. ORTEGA RE STATUS OF NEGOTIATIONS WITH CONDO BUYER..	0.4	\$196.00
01/23/19	PF	PHONE CONFERENCE WITH CHICAGO TITLE REGARDING DIFFERENCE IN LEGAL DESCRIPTION (.2) AND REVIEW VESTING DEED FOR SAME (.1). CONFER WITH T. BANICH REGARDING OFFER TO PURCHASE CONDO (.2)	0.5	\$115.00
01/24/19	TGB	MULTIPLE TELEPHONE CONFERENCES WITH M. ORTEGA RE CONDO PURCHASE NEGOTIATIONS AND HIS DISCUSSIONS WITH J. PORADA RE SAME.	0.6	\$294.00
01/24/19	PF	CONFER WITH T. BANICH REGARDING LATEST OFFER FOR PURCHASE OF CONDO.	0.2	\$46.00
01/25/19	TGB	MULTIPLE TELEPHONE CONFERENCES WITH M. ORTEGA RE CONDO SALE NEGOTIATIONS.	0.6	\$294.00
01/26/19	TGB	REVIEWED M. ORTEGA CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. PORADA RE J. PORADA'S DECISION TO ACCEPT BUYER'S COUNTEROFFER AND COMPLETION OF PAPERWORK FOR SALE TRANSACTION (.1); TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH M. ORTEGA RE SAME (.2).	0.3	\$147.00
01/29/19	TGB	DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE WITH OUTSIDE COUNSEL TO COUNTRY ACRES RE OBJECTION TO ASSESSMENT OF LEGAL FEES TO J. PORADA (.1); REVIEWED RESPONSE TO SAME FROM OUTSIDE COUNSEL TO COUNTRY ACRES (.1); REVIEWED SECTION 9.2(B) OF CONDOMINIUM PROPERTY ACT AND CONSIDER RESPONSE BASED ON SAME (.8); DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO OUTSIDE COUNSEL TO COUNTRY ACRES OBJECTING TO ASSESSMENT OF LEGAL FEES AGAINST J. PORADA AND CHALLENGING LEGAL BASIS FOR SAME (1.1); TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH M. ORTEGA RE DISCUSSION WITH J. PORADA RE CONDO SALE (N/C);	2.1	\$1,029.00
01/31/19	TGB	REVIEWED FINAL EXECUTED DOCUMENTS FOR CONDO SALE.	1.1	\$539.00
01/31/19	PF	REVIEW EMAILS FROM REALTOR CONCERNING SALE OF CONDO.	0.1	\$23.00
02/01/19	TGB	DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO COUNTRY ACRES OUTSIDE AND CORPORATE SECRETARY ATTACHING FILED MOTION TO SELL CONDO (.2); DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO M. ORTEGA ATTACHING FILED MOTION TO SELL CONDO (.1).	0.3	\$147.00
02/04/19	TGB	REVIEWED CACHA INVOICES FOR LEGAL FEES ASSESSED TO J. PORADA (.7); EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH S. KAHN AND J. STUBBLEFIELD RE SAME (.4).	1.1	\$539.00

Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount	
02/06/19	TGB	REVIEWED LETTER FROM BUYER'S ATTORNEY RE INSPECTION REQUEST (.4); TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. GOLDSTONE RE SAME (.2).	0.6	\$294.00	
<u>02/07/19</u>	<u>TGB</u>	<u>TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. GOLDSTONE RE BACKGROUND, REAL ESTATE TRANSACTION, ATTORNEY REVIEW LETTER, INSPECTION REPORT AND NEXT STEPS (.3); REVIEWED REVISED ATTORNEY REVIEW LETTER FROM CONDO BUYER (.2).</u>	0.5	<u>\$245.00</u>	(2) Typo - reduced to 0.1 per Goldstone time for same conference
02/07/19	JLG	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TERRY BANICH RE: CONTRACT (.1); CASE AND ATTORNEY REVIEW PROCESS (.3).	0.3	\$127.50	
02/07/19	JLG	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MAX ORTEGA RE: INSPECTION ITEMS AND OUR RESPONSE.	0.5	\$212.50	
02/07/19	JLG	EMAIL WITH BUYER'S ATTORNEY RE: CONDO DOCUMENTS	0.2	\$85.00	
02/07/19	RD	INTAKE AS WELL AS INITIAL PROCESSING AND REVIEW OF REAL ESTATE SALES CONTRACT FOR PROPERTY AT 640 MURRAY LANE UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.3	\$60.00	
02/07/19	RD	ORDER TITLE POLICY FOR SALE OF PROPERTY AT 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES THROUGH CHICAGO TITLE.	0.1	\$20.00	
02/07/19	RD	CORRESPONDENCE VIA EMAIL WITH THE ASSOCIATION PROPERTY MANAGER FOR PURPOSES OF GATHERING ASSOCIATION SALES DOCUMENT MATERIALS REGARDING SALE OF THE PROPERTY AT 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.2	\$40.00	
02/07/19	RD	INTER-OFFICE FILE COMMUNICATION VIA EMAIL REGARDING SALE OF PROPERTY AT 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00	
02/08/19	TGB	REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE RE INSPECTION ITEMS FOR CONDO SALE BETWEEN J. GOLDSTONE AND M. ORTEGA AND M. ORTEGA'S ANNOTATIONS/COMMENTS TO INSPECTION REPORT.	0.2	\$98.00	
02/08/19	RD	EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH BUYER SIDE ATTORNEY REGARDING SET UP OF MEETING FOR BUYER WITH CONDO ASSOCIATION IN SALE OF 640 MURRAY #312.	0.1	\$20.00	
02/08/19	RD	REVIEW LAND TRUST DOCUMENTATION THAT NEEDS COMPLETION THROUGH PARKWAY BANK FOR SALE OF 640 MURRAY #312. REQUEST INFORMATION FROM PARKWAY BANK REGARDING LAND TRUST DETAILS.	0.3	\$60.00	
02/08/19	RD	EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH CHICAGO TITLE UNDERWRITER FOR PURPOSES OF ORDERING TITLE FOR SALE OF 640 MURRAY #312.	0.1	\$20.00	



Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount
02/11/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE AND EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. GOLDSTONE RE RESPONSE TO ATTORNEY REVIEW LETTER.	0.3	\$147.00
02/11/19	JLG	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TERRY BANICH RE: ATTORNEY REVIEW LETTER RESPONSES.	0.1	\$42.50
02/11/19	JLG	DRAFT AND SEND EMAIL TO PROPERTY MANAGER RE: INSURANCE CLAIMS FOR UNIT AND WORK COMPLETED IN UNIT BY ASSOCIATION	0.1	\$42.50
02/11/19	RD	FOLLOW UP WITH PARKWAY BANK REGARDING LAND TRUST PROCEDURES FOR SALE OF PROPERTY AT 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
02/12/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. GOLDSTONE RE RESPONSE TO ATTORNEY REVIEW LETTER AND FEBRUARY 13 HEARING ON CONDO SALE MOTION (.2); REVIEWED CACHA'S SECTION 22.1 DISCLOSURE AND CORRESPONDENCE RELATED TO SAME (.1); REVIEWED DRAFT RESPONSE TO BUYER'S ATTORNEY REVIEW LETTER (.1).	0.4	\$196.00
02/12/19	JLG	DRAFT RESPONSE LETTER	0.7	\$297.50
02/12/19	JLG	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TERRY BANICH RE: RESPONSE AND ATTEMPTS TO CALL AND EMAIL DR. PORADA	0.2	\$85.00
02/12/19	JLG	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH BUYERS ATTORNEY RE: REASON FOR DELAY IN RESPONSE	0.3	\$127.50
02/12/19	RD	FORMAT AND PREPARE MODIFICATION RESPONSE LETTER FOR REVIEW REGARDING SALE OF PROPERTY AT 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
02/12/19	RD	CORRESPONDENCE WITH PARKWAY BANK REGARDING LAND TRUST DOCUMENTATION RELEASE PROCEDURES REGARDING SALE OF PROPERTY AT 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
02/12/19	RD	REVIEW ASSOCIATION 22.1 DISCLOSURE AND CIRCULATE TO BUYER SIDE ATTORNEY REGARDING SALE OF PROPERTY AT 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
02/12/19	RD	INTER-OFFICE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING STATUS OF THE TITLE DOCUMENTATION ORDER WITH CHICAGO TITLE REGARDING SALE OF PROPERTY AT 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
02/12/19	RD	CONVEY HOW PAYMENT WILL BE MADE TO THE ASSOCIATION REGARDING SALE OF PROPERTY AT 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
02/13/19	TGB	EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH J.	0.5	\$245.00

Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount
		GOLDSTONE RE RESPONSE TO ATTORNEY REVIEW LETTER RE CONDO SALE (.2); REVIEWED RESPONSE LETTER (.2); ATTEMPT TO REACH J. PORADA RE SAME (.1).		
02/13/19	JLG	FINALIZE RESPONSE LETTER AND INSPECTION RESPONSE	0.5	\$212.50
02/13/19	RD	FOLLOW UP WITH PARKWAY BANK REGARDING WHETHER WE NEED THE ORIGINAL DIRECTION TO CONVEY TO RELEASE THE DEED OUT OF THE TRUST FOR THE SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
02/13/19	RD	CHECK WHICH CHICAGO TITLE FOR STATUS OF THE TITLE COMMITMENT BEING READY REGARDING THE SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES. FURTHER EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE AS WELL AS A CALL REGARDING THE SAME WITH UNDERWRITER MARK PARKINSON.	0.3	\$60.00
02/13/19	RD	FURTHER RESEARCH OF THE MUNICIPAL REQUIREMENTS FOR THE CITY OF DES PLAINES REGARDING OBTAINING MUNICIPAL TRANSFER STAMPS FOR THE SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
02/14/19	TGB	DRAFTED AND REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE RE 22.1 DISCLOSURE AND DES PLAINES TRANSFER STAMP PROCEDURES (.2); REVIEWED BUYERS' LETTER REQUESTING CREDITS (.1); EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. GOLDSTONE AND TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH M. ORTEGA RE SAME (.4).	0.7	\$343.00
02/14/19	RD	COMPLETE DES PLAINES TRANSFER TAX FORM FOR THE SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
02/14/19	RD	COORDINATE WITH SELLER SIDE REALTOR REGARDING VILLAGE INSPECTION COMPLETION FOR THE SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
02/14/19	RD	INTER-OFFICE COMMUNICATION REGARDING HOW TO PAY FOR AND CLOSE LAND TRUST WITH LATE FEES DUE AS WELL AS PAY THE TRANSFER STAMPS.	0.1	\$20.00
02/14/19	RD	CORRESPONDENCE WITH PARKWAY BANK LAND TRUST REGARDING HOW TO GET THE DEED OUT OF THE LAND TRUST.	0.1	\$20.00
02/15/19	TGB	REVIEWED J. GOLDSTONE LETTER TO BUYER'S ATTORNEY (.1); EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH M. ORTEGA RE BUYER'S RESPONSE TO SAME (.1).	0.2	\$98.00
02/15/19	JLG	REVIEW AND REVISE ATTORNEY REVIEW RESPONSE LETTER TO BUYER'S ATTORNEY (.1); SENT UPDATED RESPONSE AND	0.2	\$85.00

Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount
		INSPECTION REPAIR LIST TO BUYER'S ATTORNEY (.1)		
02/15/19	RD	COORDINATE WITH THE CITY OF DES PLAINES TO GET THE UNIT INSPECTION DONE AND SEND TRANSFER TAX FORM OVER TO THEM AS WELL AS CALL WITH CITY REGARDING THE SAME FOR THE SALE OF THE PROPERTY AT 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.3	\$60.00
02/15/19	RD	REVIEW TITLE COMMITMENT AND INVOICE FOR THE SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.2	\$40.00
02/15/19	RD	GET CHECK READY TO PAY CITY OF DES PLAINES FOR THE REQUIRED INSPECTION FEE FOR THE SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
02/19/19	RD	FILL OUT DIRECTION TO CONVEY PAPERWORK IN ORDER TO GET THE DEED OUT OF THE LAND TRUST REGARDING SALE OF PROPERTY AT 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.2	\$40.00
02/20/19	TGB	REVIEWED BUYER'S FINAL ATTORNEY REVIEW LETTER AND EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. GOLDSTONE RE SAME (.3); REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE FROM M. ORTEGA RE MUNICIPAL INSPECTION OF CONDO IN CONNECTION WITH SALE (.1).	0.4	\$196.00
02/20/19	RD	INTER-OFFICE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING COMPLETION OF ATTORNEY REVIEW AND INSPECTION PERIOD AS WELL AS FINAL MODIFICATION LETTER REVIEW FOR SALE OF PROPERTY AT 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
02/21/19	TGB	REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE RE CONDO SALE TRANSACTION.	0.1	\$49.00
02/21/19	RD	INTERNAL OFFICE CALL REGARDING PROCESS FOR CLOSING OUT LAND TRUST AS WELL AS GETTING FEES FOR CLOSING LAND TRUST PROCESSED. CALL ALSO COVERED THE PROCEDURE FOR CLOSING THE PROPERTY AND REMAINING STEPS TO ENSURE PROPERTY IS READY TO SELL RE SALE OF PROPERTY AT 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.4	\$80.00
02/21/19	RD	INTEROFFICE EMAIL COMMUNICATION REGARDING PARKWAY BANK ALLOWING US TO AVOID CERTAIN HURDLES FOR RELEASING THE PROPERTY OUT OF THE LAND TRUST REGARDING SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.2	\$40.00
02/22/19	TGB	REVIEWED AND EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE RE STATUS OF CONDO SALE TRANSACTION.	0.1	\$49.00

Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount
02/22/19	JLG	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH COUNSEL FOR PARKWAY BANK RE: LAND TRUST DIRECTION TO CONVEY AND PAYMENT OF PAST DUE TRUST FEES	0.3	\$127.50
02/22/19	RD	DRAFT MYDEC ILLINOIS AND COOK COUNTY TRANSFER TAX PAPERWORK FOR SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.2	\$40.00
02/22/19	RD	BUYER LENDER CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING TITLE UPDATES AND LENDER TITLE REQUIREMENTS FOR SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
02/22/19	RD	REVIEW UPDATED TITLE DOCUMENTATION FROM CHICAGO TITLE TO ENSURE BUYER LENDER CHANGES WERE PROPERLY IMPLEMENTED REGARDING SALE OF PROPERTY AT 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
02/22/19	RD	REQUEST TITLE UPDATES FROM CHICAGO TITLE UNDERWRITERS PER SPECIFICATIONS FROM THE BUYER SIDE LENDER ON SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
02/22/19	RD	INTEROFFICE CORRESPONDENCE VIA EMAIL REGARDING EXECUTION COORDINATION OF LAND TRUST DIRECTION TO CONVEY AT PARKWAY BANK FOR SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
02/25/19	TGB	REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE RE CONDO SALE (.2); REVIEWED CACHA'S PAID ASSESSMENT LETTER AND LEGAL FEES CHARGED THROUGH, AND CONSIDERED ISSUES WITH SAME (.7).	0.9	\$441.00
02/25/19	RD	REQUEST COMMISSION STATEMENT FROM REAL ESTATE AGENT MAX ORTEGA FOR SALE OF PROPERTY AT 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
02/25/19	RD	REVIEW PAID ASSESSMENT LETTER AND OTHER FINAL CLOSING PAPERWORK FROM THE ASSOCIATION MANAGEMENT COMPANY REGARDING SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.2	\$40.00
02/25/19	RD	DRAFT CLOSING DOCUMENT PACKAGE (BILL OF SALE AFFIDAVIT OF TITLE 1099 FORM FIRPTA FORM ALTA FORM POWER OF ATTORNEY) FOR SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	1.0	\$200.00
02/26/19	RD	CHECK ON STATUS OF DISCUSSION WITH PARKWAY BANK ATTORNEY FOR CLOSING DOCUMENT EXECUTION REGARDING POWER OF ATTORNEY RULES FOR SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
02/27/19	RD	INTER-OFFICE EMAIL REGARDING STATUS OF SALE PROGRESS ON SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00

Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount
02/27/19	RD	CIRCULATE DRAFT CLOSING DOCUMENTATION PACKAGE TO OFFICE FOR REVIEW AND UPDATING.	0.1	\$20.00
02/28/19	TGB	REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE RE STATUS OF BUYER'S LOAN APPROVAL AND APPRAISAL, AND EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. GOLDSTONE RE SAME.	0.1	\$49.00
02/28/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH S. KAHN RE CACHA LEGAL FEES CHARGED THROUGH TO DEBTOR ON PAID ASSESSMENT LETTER.	0.5	\$245.00
02/28/19	JLG	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE TO PARKWAY BANK COUNSEL RE: DIRECTION TO CONVEY (.2); REVIEW DRAFT CLOSING DOCUMENTS (.2).	0.4	\$170.00
02/28/19	RD	DISCUSS PROCEDURE FOR PAYING THE PAID ASSESSMENT LETTER ATTORNEY FEES CHARGED BY THE ASSOCIATION TO ENSURE COVERAGE FOR THE SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
02/28/19	RD	UPDATE CLOSING DOCUMENT PACKAGE TO ENSURE PROPER VESTING INFORMATION AND CALL WITH JENNIFER GOLDSTONE REGARDING THE SAME FOR SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.3	\$60.00
02/28/19	RD	DISCUSS CONTENTS OF PAID ASSESSMENT LETTER WITH JENNIFER GOLDSTONE AND TERRY BANICH FOR SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
02/28/19	RD	CALL WITH LISTING BROKER MAXIMILIAN ORTEGA REGARDING PREPARATION AND STATUS OF COMMISSION STATEMENT FOR SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
03/01/19	TGB	REVIEWED AND EXECUTED DIRECTION TO CONVEY FOR CONDO SALE.	0.1	\$49.00
03/01/19	JLG	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH COUNSEL FOR PARKWAY BANK RE: LAND TRUST REQUIREMENTS (.2); DRAFT LETTER RE: SAME (.7); TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TERRY BANICH RE: SAME (.1).	1.0	\$425.00
03/01/19	RD	PREPARE TRANSFER STAMP PACKAGE FOR DES PLAINES REGARDING SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.3	\$60.00
03/01/19	RD	DRAFT LETTER TO PARKWAY BANK ATTORNEY FOR PURPOSES OF GETTING PROPER LAND TRUST RELEASE REGARDING SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.2	\$40.00
03/01/19	RD	CALLS WITH JENNIFER GOLDSTONE REGARDING PARKWAY BANK ACCEPTANCE OF OUR PROCEDURE FOR DEED RELEASE AND EXECUTIONS AS WELL AS SCHEDULE	0.4	\$80.00

Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount
		COORDINATION OF RELEASE AND DELIVERY OF DEED FOR SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.		
03/01/19	RD	UPDATE CLOSING PACKAGE WITH NEW PROPER VESTING AFTER DISCUSSING PARTICULAR CHANGES FOR SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.2	\$40.00
03/01/19	RD	CALL WITH VILLAGE OF DES PLAINES FINANCE DEPARTMENT TO IRON OUT AND COORDINATE FINAL DETAILS FOR GETTING TRANSFER STAMPS ISSUED ON SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.3	\$60.00
03/01/19	RD	MEETING WITH TERRY BANICH TO EXECUTE AND NOTARIZE THE DIRECTION TO CONVEY FOR LAND TRUST DEED RELEASE REGARDING SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.2	\$40.00
03/04/19	TGB	REVIEWED DRAFT COMMISSION STATEMENT FOR CONDO SALE.	0.2	\$98.00
03/04/19	RD	CONFIRM CLOSING DOCUMENT PREPARATION IS CORRECT WITH CHICAGO TITLE UNDERWRITERS FOR SALE OF PROPERTY AT 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.2	\$40.00
03/04/19	RD	REVIEW COMMISSION STATEMENT AND HOME DEPOT RECEIPTS FROM REAL ESTATE AGENT FOR SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
03/05/19	TGB	REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN REAL ESTATE LAWYERS AND TITLE COMPANY RE APPARENT TYPO IN 1979 DEED AND STRATEGIES FOR DEALING WITH SAME (.2); REVIEWED AND EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE RE PAYING FOR REAL ESTATE TRANSFER TAX STAMP (.2).	0.4	\$196.00
03/05/19	RD	SEARCH PROPERTY RECORDS FOR ADDRESS ERROR IN THE LAND TRUST RECORDS DISCREPANCY ON SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES (.2). PARKWAY BANK CORRESPONDENCE VIA EMAIL AND ANSWERING QUESTIONS OF THEIRS FOR OUR PROPERTY REGARDING SAME (.2). CALL WITH JENNIFER GOLDSTONE REGARDING SAME (.2). EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH CHICAGO TITLE REGARDING SAME (.2).	0.8	\$160.00
03/05/19	RD	CALL WITH CITY OF DES PLAINES FOR TRANSFER STAMP FINAL CONFIRMATION AND COORDINATING REGARDING SALE OF PROPERTY AT 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.2	\$40.00
03/05/19	RD	COORDINATE WITH BROKER MAX ORTEGA REGARDING PICKUP OF TRANSFER STAMPS FROM DES PLAINS FOR SALE OF PROPERTY	0.2	\$40.00

Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount
		AT 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.		
03/05/19	RD	INTER OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE VIA EMAIL REGARDING FILE STATUS FOR SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
03/05/19	RD	REVIEW THE DEED OUT OF TRUST AND PROCEEDS LETTER FOR SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
03/06/19	TGB	REVIEWED AND EXECUTED VARIOUS CLOSING DOCUMENTS FOR CONDO SALE (.2); DISCUSSED SAME WITH R. DAMON (.1).	0.3	\$147.00
03/06/19	RD	EXECUTE CLOSING PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION WITH TERENCE BANICH REGARDING SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.4	\$80.00
03/06/19	RD	EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH MAXIMILIAN ORTEGA REGARDING CLOSING STATUS FOR SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
03/06/19	RD	INTER OFFICE FILE COMMUNICATION VIA EMAIL FOR CHECKING ON THE STATUS OF CLOSING AFTER HEARING STATUS OF BUYER LOAN PROCESS FOR SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
03/06/19	RD	CIRCULATE CLOSING DOCUMENTATION TO BUYER SIDE ATTORNEY FOR REVIEW ON SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
03/06/19	RD	CORRESPONDENCE VIA EMAIL WITH CITY OF DES PLAINES FINANCE DEPARTMENT LETTING US KNOW THE STAMPS ARE READY FOR PICKUP FOR SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
03/07/19	TGB	EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE RE UPDATED CLOSING DATE (.1); REVIEWED DRAFT CLOSING STATEMENT FOR CONDO SALE AND EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. GOLDSTONE AND R. DAMON RE SAME (.3).	0.4	\$196.00
03/07/19	JLG	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH PARKWAY BANK COUNSEL RE: ORIGINAL DEED (.3); PREPARE PRELIM CLOSING FIGURES (.3); EMAIL WITH BUYER'S ATTORNEY ABOUT SCHEDULING (.2)	0.8	\$340.00
03/07/19	RD	UPDATE CLOSING FIGURES FOR THE TITLE COMPANY ON OUR FIRM DRAFT CLOSING STATEMENT MULTIPLE TIMES REGARDING SALE OF PROPERTY AT 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.3	\$60.00
03/07/19	RD	REVIEW MORTGAGE AND CLOSING DATE EXTENSION REQUEST FROM BUYER SIDE ATTORNEY FOR SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00

Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount
03/07/19	RD	COORDINATE GETTING EXECUTED LAND TRUST DEED TO OUR OFFICE FROM PARKWAY ATTORNEY OFFICE FOR SALE OF PROPERTY AT 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.2	\$40.00
03/08/19	RD	CIRCULATE CONTRACT DISCLOSURES TO LENDER REGARDING SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
03/08/19	RD	CALL WITH BROKER MAX ORTEGA REGARDING TRANSFER STAMP DELIVERY TO OUR OFFICES FOR SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
03/08/19	RD	RECEIVE AND REVIEW EXECUTED DEED ORIGINALS FROM PARKWAY BANK FOR SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
03/08/19	RD	INTER OFFICE COMMUNICATION FOR PURPOSES OF COORDINATING THE CLOSING FOR SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
03/11/19	RD	UPDATE THE ASSOCIATION MANAGEMENT COMPANY VIA EMAIL OF THE NEW CONTRACT CLOSING DATE FOR SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
03/11/19	RD	INTER-OFFICE EMAILS REGARDING FINAL CLOSING COORDINATION AND STATUS OF CLEAR TO CLOSE WITH BUYER LENDER FOR SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
03/11/19	RD	COORDINATE DELIVERY OF CLOSING DOCUMENTATION TO THE TITLE COMPANY FOR SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
03/12/19	TGB	REVIEWED AND EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. GOLDSTONE RE EXTENSION OF CLOSING DATE FOR CONDO SALE.	0.2	\$98.00
03/12/19	RD	CORRESPONDENCE WITH BUYER LENDER REGARDING CLOSING STATUS AND CLEAR TO CLOSE PROGRESS FOR SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
03/12/19	RD	CONFIRM CLOSING DETAILS WITH TITLE COMPANY AND ADD RELEVANT DETAILS TO OFFICE SCHEDULE FOR SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00
03/13/19	TGB	EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. GOLDSTONE RE CONDO CLOSING ON MARCH 15 (.1); TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH BUYER FOR CONDO RE CLOSING ISSUES (.2).	0.3	\$147.00
03/13/19	RD	REVIEW CHICAGO TITLE UPDATED CLOSING FIGURES FOR SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.2	\$40.00
03/14/19	TGB	EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH J.	0.1	\$49.00



Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount	
		GOLDSTONE RE FINAL ARRANGEMENTS FOR CONDO SALE CLOSING.			
03/14/19	JLG	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH CHICAGO TITLE RE: CLOSING DETAILS	0.2	\$85.00	
03/14/19	RD	SEND CLOSING FIGURES AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION TO CHICAGO TITLE ADMINISTRATORS FOR PREPARING THE FINAL CLOSING STATEMENT ON THE SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.1	\$20.00	
03/14/19	RD	CALLS WITH REALTOR MAXIMILIAN ORTEGA TO COORDINATE DELIVERY OF TRANSFER STAMPS TO THE CLOSING FOR SALE OF 640 MURRAY UNIT 312 IN DES PLAINES.	0.2	\$40.00	
03/15/19	TGB	REVIEWED AND EXECUTED FINAL CLOSING DOCUMENTS FOR CONDO SALE PER COURT ORDER (.5); TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. GOLDSTONE RE SAME (.1).	0.6	\$294.00	
<u>03/15/19</u>	<u>JLG</u>	<u>ATTEND TO CLOSING MATTERS</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>\$850.00</u>	(6)
		<b>SUBTOTAL: COUNTRY ACRES MATTERS</b>	<b>41.9</b>	<b>\$15,809.00</b>	Insufficient Description
<b><u>CREDITOR CLAIMS/COMMUNICATIONS</u></b>					
02/05/19	TGB	REVIEWED AND EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH T. DESAI RE ITEMS ON DECEMBER 2018 OPERATING REPORT.	0.2	\$98.00	
03/08/19	TGB	EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH T. DESAI RE HER ASSISTANCE WITH PARKWAY BANK IN CONDO SALE.	0.1	\$49.00	
03/13/19	TGB	CONSIDERED POTENTIAL CLAIM ASSERTED BY RFREINV, LLC AGAINST ESTATE (.3); DRAFTED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE OF BAR DATE ORDER FOR SAME (.2).	0.5	\$245.00	
04/04/19	TGB	REVIEWED PROOFS OF CLAIM FILED BY M. REZAI, ESTATE OF HEATH AND ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE.	0.6	\$294.00	
04/05/19	TGB	REVIEWED AMENDED PROOF OF CLAIM FILED BY REZAI AND AMENDED PROOF OF CLAIM FILED BY HEATH (.6); REVIEWED PROOF OF CLAIM FILED BY FISHER (.2).	0.8	\$392.00	
07/11/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCES WITH T. DESAI RE REQUESTED EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT.	0.1	\$51.50	
07/15/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. DESAI RE AMENDED MOTION TO EXTEND TIME.	0.3	\$154.50	
07/17/19	TGB	CONFERRED WITH J. SHIMOTAKE AND T. DESAI RE CASE STATUS.	0.2	\$103.00	
09/10/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. DESAI, COUNSEL FOR CREDITORS REZAI AND HEATH, RE SAME.	0.2	\$103.00	
		<b>SUBTOTAL: CREDITOR CLAIMS/COMMUNICATIONS</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>\$1,490.00</b>	

Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount	
<b><u>FEE APPLICATIONS</u></b>					
02/27/19	TGB	REVIEWED AND EDITED FOX ROTHSCHILD INVOICES FOR JUNE-DECEMBER 2018 TIME, CLASSIFIED TIME INTO TASK CATEGORIES AND ANALYZED TIME DISTRIBUTION BY CATEGORY IN SPREADSHEET.	2.5	\$1,225.00	
02/28/19	TGB	REVIEWED AND EDITED FOX ROTHSCHILD INVOICES FOR JUNE-DECEMBER 2018 TIME, CLASSIFIED TIME INTO TASK CATEGORIES AND ANALYZED TIME DISTRIBUTION BY CATEGORY IN SPREADSHEET.	2.0	\$980.00	
<u>03/01/19</u>	<u>TGB</u>	REVIEWED AND EDITED FOX ROTHSCHILD INVOICES FOR JUNE-DECEMBER 2018 TIME, CLASSIFIED TIME INTO TASK CATEGORIES AND ANALYZED TIME DISTRIBUTION BY CATEGORY IN SPREADSHEET (2.9); <u>DRAFTED AND EDITED FIRST INTERIM FEE APPLICATION FOR FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP FOR JUNE 2018 TO DECEMBER 2018 (2.9).</u>	5.8	<u>\$2,842.00</u>	(2) Typo - appears duplicate because same tasks for same amount of time on same day.
03/04/19	TGB	CONTINUED EDITING FOX ROTHSCHILD FEE APPLICATION FOR JUNE-DECEMBER 2018 (1.5 -- NO CHARGE); REVIEWED AND EDITED FOX ROTHSCHILD JUNE-DECEMBER 2018 INVOICE (1.5 -- NO CHARGE);	0.1	NO CHARGE	
03/08/19	TGB	FINALIZED FOX ROTHSCHILD FIRST INTERIM FEE APPLICATION (.3 -- NO CHARGE); DRAFTED PROPOSED ORDER IN CONNECTION WITH SAME (.3 -- NO CHARGE)	0.1	NO CHARGE	
10/11/19	TGB	REVIEWED INVOICE FOR JANUARY-SEPTEMBER 2019 TIME AND BEGIN TO PREPARE FINAL FEE APPLICATION FOR FOX ROTHSCHILD.	1.5	\$772.50	
10/14/19	TGB	DRAFTED AND EDITED FINAL FEE APPLICATION FOR FOX ROTHSCHILD.	3.1	\$1,596.50	
10/15/19	TGB	DRAFTED AND EDITED FINAL FEE APPLICATION FOR FOX ROTHSCHILD.	1.5	\$772.50	
10/16/19	TGB	MADE FINAL EDITS FEE APPLICATION FOR FOX ROTHSCHILD (.3); DRAFTED PROPOSED ORDER ON SAME (.3).	0.6	\$309.00	
<b>SUBTOTAL: FEE APPLICATIONS</b>			<b>17.2</b>	<b>\$8,497.50</b>	
<b><u>FISHER ADVERSARY</u></b>					
01/04/19	TGB	REVIEWED FISHER'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND CONSIDERED FACTS TO INVESTIGATE AND POTENTIAL MOTION TO STRIKE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES (.8); LEGAL RESEARCH RE POTENTIAL DEFECTS IN AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES (1.5); DRAFTED LENGTHY ANALYSIS TO J. PORADA OF FISHER'S ANSWER AND SUGGESTED STRATEGIES RE SAME (2.1).	4.4	\$2,156.00	

Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount	
01/04/19	JLD	DISCUSS FORECLOSURE QUESTION WITH T. BANICH (.1); RESEARCH SAME (2.1).	2.2	\$803.00	
01/07/19	TGB	REVIEWED LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING FORECLOSURE OF UNRECORDED MORTGAGE.	1.5	\$735.00	
01/07/19	JLD	CONTINUE RESEARCH INTO LOAN FORECLOSURE QUESTION AND PREPARE BRIEF SUMMARY OF SAME.	2.4	\$876.00	
<u>01/08/19</u>	<u>RWG</u>	<u>CONFER WITH J. DEVROYE RE MORTGAGE ENFORCEMENT ISSUES</u>	<u>0.3</u>	<u>\$165.00</u>	(2) Typo - reduced to 0.2 per Devroye time for same conference
01/08/19	TGB	EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. DEVROYE RE FURTHER RESEARCH RE POTENTIAL FORECLOSURE OF FISHER NOTES AND WHETHER THEY ARE EQUIVALENT TO MORTGAGES (.1); TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH ATTORNEY FOR FISHER RE BACKGROUND AND JANUARY 9 HEARING (.3); REVIEWED J. DEVROYE'S ANALYSIS OF WHETHER NOTES CONSTITUTED MORTGAGES (.2).	0.6	\$294.00	
01/08/19	JLD	RESEARCH BANKRUPTCY COURT ADJUDICATION OF FORECLOSURE SUIT PER T. BANICH (.2); RESEARCH ENFORCEABILITY OF MORTGAGE (1.8); DISCUSS SAME WITH R. GLANTZ (.2); ADDITIONAL RESEARCH RE GRANTING LANGUAGE (.2).	2.4	\$876.00	
01/09/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH AND EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. DEVROYE WHETHER FISHER NOTES ARE "MORTGAGES" UNDER THE MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE LAW (.2); LEGAL RESEARCH RE SAME (.7); CONFERRED WITH COUNSEL FOR FISHER RE SEARCH FOR FINANCIAL RECORDS AND POTENTIAL SETTLEMENT (.1).	1.0	\$490.00	
<u>01/10/19</u>	<u>TGB</u>	<u>CONFERRED WITH J. DEVROYE RE WHETHER NOTES ARE MORTGAGES UNDER THE MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE LAW AND BANKRUPTCY COURT JURISDICTION TO CONSIDER CLAIMS.</u>	<u>0.5</u>	<u>\$245.00</u>	(2) Typo - reduced to 0.2 per Devroye time for same conference
01/10/19	JLD	CONTINUE RESEARCH INTO LOAN FORECLOSURE QUESTION AND PREPARE FURTHER SUMMARY TO T. BANICH OF SAME (3.2); DISCUSS POTENTIAL VENUE FOR FORECLOSURE CLAIM WITH T. BANICH (.2).	3.4	\$1,241.00	
01/11/19	TGB	REVIEWED SETTLEMENT OFFER FROM FISHER'S COUNSEL.	0.1	\$49.00	
01/11/19	JLD	CONTINUE RESEARCH INTO LOAN FORECLOSURE QUESTION AND PREPARE FURTHER SUMMARY TO T. BANICH OF SAME.	6.1	\$2,226.50	
<u>01/14/19</u>	<u>TGB</u>	<u>CONFERRED WITH J. DEVROYE RE FORECLOSURE ON FISHER NOTES AND JURISDICTION ISSUES WITH REGARD TO SAME (.8); MULTIPLE CONFERENCES WITH P.</u>	6.2	<u>\$3,038.00</u>	(2) Typo - reduced to 0.2 per Devroye time for same conference

Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount	
01/14/19	JLD	FREDERICKS RE RECORDING FISHER NOTES AND TITLE SEARCH ON FISHER PROPERTIES IN WISCONSIN (.3); LEGAL RESEARCH RE MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE PRINCIPLES (3.3); DRAFTED AMENDED COMPLAINT AGAINST FISHER TO ADD ALLEGATIONS RE SECURITY INTERESTS AND RELATED MATTERS (1.8).	1.1	\$401.50	
01/14/19	PF	DISCUSS FORECLOSURE ADVERSARY CASE RESEARCH WITH T. BANICH (.2); RESEARCH ANY STATUTE OF REPOSE OR LIMITATIONS FOR MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE ACTION (.9).	3.2	\$736.00	(2) Typo - sum of all conferences reduced to 0.3 per Banich time for same conferences
01/15/19	TGB	CONFERENCE WITH T. BANICH REGARDING NOTES TO BE RECORDED AGAINST MINOOKA PROPERTY(.2). REVIEW SAME.(1) PHONE CALL TO KENDALL COUNTY RECORDER TO DETERMINE IF COPIES OF NOTES CAN BE RECORDED AND INSTRUCTIONS AND COST FOR RECORDING 6 NOTES (.4). FOLLOW UP CONFERENCE WITH T. BANICH REGARDING INFORMATION OBTAINED FOR SAME (.2). CONFERENCE WITH T. BANICH REGARDING 3 PROPERTIES IN HAYWARD, WI. AND NEED TO DETERMINE CURRENT OWNERSHIP OF SAME (.2). REVIEW OPERATING AGREEMENT FOR PINS AND LEGAL DESCRIPTIONS OF PROPERTY (.2). SEARCH SAWYER COUNTY RECORDERS WEBSITE TO TRY TO OBTAIN LATEST VESTING DEED FOR EACH PROPERTY (.4). OBTAIN COPIES OF CURRENT TAX BILLS FOR EACH PROPERTY (.2). SEARCH REAL QUEST DATA BASE AND REVIEW PROPERTY DETAIL REPORTS OBTAINED FROM SAME FOR EACH PROPERTY (.9) AND CONFERENCE WITH T. BANICH REGARDING NEED FOR TRACT SEARCH FOR SAME(.2). REQUEST ESTIMATE FOR TRACT SEARCH (.2).	7.1	\$3,479.00	
01/15/19	PF	CONTINUED DRAFTING AMENDED COMPLAINT VS. FISHER (2.5); LEGAL RESEARCH RE SAME AND OTHER POTENTIAL CLAIMS (2.6); MULTIPLE CONFERENCES WITH P. FREDERICKS RE RECORDING NOTES AND NOTICE OF FORECLOSURE (.5); EDITED NOTICES TO RECORD WITH SIX NOTES (.3); DRAFTED AND EDITED NOTICE OF FORECLOSURE TO BE RECORDED (1.2).	1.5	\$345.00	
		PREPARE COVER PAGES FOR RECORDING 6 PROMISSORY NOTES AND CONFERENCE WITH T. BANICH REGARDING SAME (.8). LOCATE SAMPLE LIS PENDENS AND FORWARD TO T. BANICH (.2). REVIEW EMAIL REGARDING OFFER TO PURCHASE CONDO AND CONFERENCE WITH T. BANICH REGARDING SAME AND REQUEST THAT ORDER AUTHORIZING SALE OF SAME BE FINAL IF WE ARE TO MEET CLOSING DEADLINE BY BUYER (.3). CONFIRM ORDER OF TRACT SEARCHES ON WISCONSIN PROPERTIES (.2).			

Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount	
01/16/19	RWG	CONFER WITH T. BANICH RE FISHER LOAN DISPUTE ISSUES	0.3	\$165.00	
01/16/19	TGB	REVIEWED AND APPROVED FISHER NOTES FOR RECORDING WITH KENDALL COUNTY RECORDER OF DEEDS (.1); REVIEWED LAND RECORDS FOR FISHER'S KENDALL COUNTY PROPERTY (.3); REVIEWED HEARTLAND BANK MORTGAGE AND MORTGAGE MODIFICATION DOCUMENTS (.7); CONSIDER RELATIVE LIEN PRIORITIES AND WHETHER HEARTLAND BANK MUST BE NAMED AS A FORECLOSURE DEFENDANT (.5); CONFERRED WITH R. GLANTZ RE FORECLOSURE PROCEDURE AND LIEN PRIORITY (.3); LEGAL RESEARCH RE EQUITABLE LIEN CLAIM AND CONSIDERED OTHER POTENTIAL CAUSES OF ACTION (.9); DRAFTED AND EDITED AMENDED COMPLAINT TO ADD EQUITABLE LIEN CLAIM (2.9); FURTHER CONFERENCE WITH R. GLANTZ RE LIEN FORECLOSURE PROCEDURES (.3); CONFERENCES WITH P. FREDERICKS RE ESTIMATED MARKET VALUE OF FISHER PROPERTY (.3).	6.3	\$3,087.00	
<u>01/16/19</u>	<u>PF</u>	PREPARE LETTER TO RECORDER WITH PROMISSORY NOTES ON KENDALL COUNTY PROPERTY(.3) ASSEMBLE DOCUMENTS (.3) AND ARRANGE FOR SAME TO BE SENT BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY (.2). <u>CONFER WITH T. BANICH REGARDING MORTGAGE ON PROPERTY AND REVIEW RESULTS OF TRACT SEARCH FOR SAME (.4). RESEARCH VALUE OF KENDALL COUNTY PROPERTY (1.3).</u>	2.5	<u>\$575.00</u>	(2) Typo - reduced to 0.3 per Banich entry for same conferences
01/17/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH M. ORTEGA RE ESTIMATED VALUE OF FISHER PROPERTY (.1); MULTIPLE CONFERENCES WITH P. FREDERICKS RE HER DISCUSSIONS WITH KENDALL COUNTY RECORDER RE RECORDING FISHER NOTES (.3); EDITED NOTICE OF FORECLOSURE TO REFLECT RECORDATION OF NOTES (.1).	0.5	\$245.00	
01/17/19	PF	PHONE CALL TO KENDALL COUNTY RECORDER TO DETERMINE IF THEY RECEIVED PROMISSORY NOTES FOR RECORDING AND THEIR CLAIM THAT SAME MUST BE NOTARIZED (.4). CONFER WITH T. BANICH REGARDING SAME (.2). CONFER AGAIN WITH COUNTY TO REQUEST EVIDENCE OF NOTARY REQUIREMENT AND CONFIRM THAT ALL WILL BE RECORDED WITH TODAYS DATE WITH REQUIRED MODIFICATION TO SAME (.3) REVISE SAME AND FAX TO COUNTY FOR RECORDING (.3). FORWARD RECORDED FIRST PAGES TO T. BANICH (.1).	1.3	\$299.00	
01/18/19	TGB	REVIEWED TRACT SEARCH RESULTS FOR FISHER PROPERTIES IN WISCONSIN.	0.2	\$98.00	
01/22/19	TGB	EDITED, FINALIZED AND FILED AMENDED	2.0	\$980.00	

Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount
		COMPLAINT VS. FISHER (1.5); DRAFTED AND FILED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE FOR AMENDED COMPLAINT (.2); DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. SHIMOTAKE, COUNSEL FOR R. FISHER, RE AMENDED COMPLAINT AND SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS (.3).		
01/23/19	TGB	REVIEWED AND ANALYZED TRACT SEARCH FOR FISHER'S WISCONSIN PROPERTIES (.5); DISCUSSED SAME WITH P. FREDERICKS (.2).	0.7	\$343.00
01/23/19	PF	REVIEW RESULTS OF TRACT SEARCH FOR HAYWARD PROPERTY AND COMPARE LEGAL DESCRIPTION IN SAME TO THAT IN OPERATING AGREEMENT.	0.3	\$69.00
01/24/19	TGB	REVIEWED TRACT SEARCH RESULTS AND VESTING DEED FOR RFREINV, LLC PROPERTIES.	0.5	\$245.00
01/24/19	PF	REVIEW ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM TITLE COMPANY REGARDING HAYWARD TRACT SEARCHES (.2) FORWARD VESTING DEED TO T. BANICH(.1).	0.3	\$69.00
01/25/19	TGB	REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE FROM FISHER'S COUNSEL RE NEW SETTLEMENT OFFER.	0.1	\$49.00
01/31/19	TGB	CONFERRED WITH P. FREDERICKS RE RECORDATION OF LIS PENDENS AGAINST FISHER PROPERTY AND REVIEWED SAME.	0.2	\$98.00
01/31/19	PF	SCAN AND FORWARD RECORDED PAGE OF LIS PENDENS.	0.2	\$46.00
02/06/19	TGB	REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE FROM J. SHIMOTAKE RE FISHER ADVERSARY.	0.1	\$49.00
02/14/19	TGB	REVIEWED SCHEDULING ORDER IN FISHER ADVERSARY.	0.1	\$49.00
02/20/19	TGB	REVIEWED AND EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. SHIMOTAKE RE FISHER SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS.	0.3	\$147.00
02/22/19	TGB	REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE FROM J. SHIMOTAKE RE FISHER SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS.	0.2	\$98.00
03/04/19	PF	REVIEW FAX OF OPERATING AGREEMENT FOR RFREINV LLC AND CONFER WITH T. BANICH REGARDING SAME.	0.2	\$46.00
03/13/19	TGB	REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE FROM J. SHIMOTAKE RE NEW SETTLEMENT OFFER.	0.2	\$98.00
03/14/19	TGB	REVIEWED FISHER'S ANSWER TO AMENDED COMPLAINT AND CONSIDERED POTENTIAL RESPONSES THERETO.	0.9	\$441.00
03/21/19	TGB	EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. SHIMOTAKE RE MOTION TO WITHDRAW JURY DEMAND.	0.1	\$49.00

Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount
03/25/19	TGB	REVIEWED ORDER RE FISHER'S JURY DEMAND (.1); REVIEWED FISHER'S MOTION TO WITHDRAW JURY DEMAND PER ORDER OF MARCH 25 (.2); EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. SHIMOTAKE RE SAME (.1).	0.4	\$196.00
04/02/19	TGB	EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH C. SANFELIPPO RE RESULTS OF HEARING IN FISHER ADVERSARY.	0.1	\$49.00
04/02/19	CMS	ATTENDED HEARING ON DEFENDANT ROBERT FISHER'S MOTION TO WITHDRAW JURY DEMAND.	1.0	\$290.00
04/03/19	PF	SCAN AND SAVE RECORDED PROMISSORY NOTES.	0.3	\$69.00
04/04/19	TGB	REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE FROM J. SHIMOTAKE AND PROPOSED ORDER STRIKING JURY DEMAND.	0.2	\$98.00
04/05/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. SHIMOTAKE RE PROPOSED ORDER STRIKING FISHER'S JURY DEMAND (.1); REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE TO COURT FROM J. SHIMOTAKE RE SAME AND DRAFT ORDER SUBMITTED TO COURT (.1).	0.2	\$98.00
06/12/19	TGB	REVIEWED RFREINV, LLC'S OPERATING AGREEMENT IN CONNECTION WITH FORMULATING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT (.3); REVIEWED NOTES AND DETERMINED AMOUNTS CURRENTLY DUE THEREUNDER (.7); REVIEWED INVOICES AND UNBILLED TIME TO DETERMINE AMOUNT OF ATTORNEY'S FEES ASSOCIATED WITH ENFORCEMENT OF FISHER NOTES (.8).	1.8	\$927.00
06/17/19	TGB	REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE FROM J. SHIMOTAKE RE INQUIRY RE SETTLEMENT POSITION (.1); DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE SAME (.1).	0.2	\$103.00
06/18/19	SBT	REVIEW CLIENT EMAILS FROM FISHER SETTLEMENT (.3); CONFER WITH T. BANICH REGARDING SAME AND REVIEW RESPONSE TO CLIENT ISSUES (1.2).	1.5	\$1,200.00
06/18/19	TGB	DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. SHIMOTAKE RE FORTHCOMING SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL (.1); REVIEWED J. PORADA'S COMMENTS/ANALYSIS OF FISHER SETTLEMENT POINTS (.2); CONFERRED WITH S. TOWBIN RE SAME AND POINTS TO MAKE IN RESPONSE (.3); REVIEWED RFREINV, LLC OPERATING AGREEMENT AND AMENDMENT THERETO IN CONNECTION WITH FISHER SETTLEMENT AND J. PORADA'S QUESTIONS RE SAME (.7); TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. ELLIOTT RE INSTRUMENT CONVEYING J. PORADA'S MEMBERSHIP INTEREST IN RFREINV, LLC (.2); TELEPHONE	1.6	\$824.00

Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount
		CONFERENCE WITH J. PORADA RE SAME (.1).		
06/19/19	TGB	REVIEWED J. PORADA'S RESPONSE TO EMAIL RE FISHER SETTLEMENT TERMS (.2); CONFERRED WITH J. SHIMOTAKE RE SETTLEMENT CONCEPTS (.2); REVIEWED INSTRUMENT ASSIGNING INTEREST IN RFREINV, LLC (.2).	0.6	\$309.00
06/26/19	TGB	DRAFTED DETAILED AND LENGTHY CORRESPONDENCE TO J. SHIMOTAKE RE SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL AND MATERIAL TERMS OF SAME.	1.1	\$566.50
07/01/19	TGB	REVIEWED J. SHIMOTAKE'S RESPONSE TO FISHER'S SETTLEMENT OFFER (.3); DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE SAME (.5); TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. SHIMOTAKE RE CLARIFICATION OF SETTLEMENT OFFER (.3); DRAFTED FURTHER CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE SAME (.3).	1.4	\$721.00
07/16/19	TGB	REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE FROM J. SHIMOTAKE REQUESTING STATUS UPDATE RE SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS.	0.1	\$51.50
<b>SUBTOTAL: FISHER ADVERSARY</b>			<b>72.0</b>	<b>\$31,003.00</b>
<b><u>OPERATING REPORTS</u></b>				
01/02/19	TGB	REVIEWED DRAFT NOVEMBER OPERATING REPORT.	0.5	\$245.00
01/02/19	PF	REVISE AND ASSEMBLE OPERATING REPORT. FORWARD EXECUTED COPY TO T. BANICH.	0.4	\$92.00
01/14/19	TGB	FINALIZED AND FILED NOVEMBER 2018 OPERATING REPORT (.5); REVIEWED DRAFT DECEMBER 2018 OPERATING REPORT (.5).	1.0	\$490.00
01/15/19	PF	PREPARE DECEMBER 2018 OPERATING REPORT.	1.9	\$437.00
01/22/19	PF	ASSEMBLE AND FORWARD DECEMBER OPERATING REPORT.	0.3	\$69.00
01/24/19	TGB	REVIEWED DRAFT DECEMBER 2018 OPERATING REPORT AND MULTIPLE CONFERENCES WITH P. FREDERICKS RE SAME AND CERTAIN TRANSACTIONS IN OPERATING BANK ACCOUNT.	0.8	\$392.00
01/24/19	PF	CONFER WITH T. BANICH REGARDING DECEMBER OPERATING REPORT AND REVIEW BANK STATEMENTS TO BE SURE FEES DID NOT CLEAR DURING REPORTING PERIOD.	0.3	\$69.00
01/26/19	TGB	REVIEWED FINAL DECEMBER 2018 OPERATING REPORT AND FILED SAME..	0.5	\$245.00
02/11/19	TGB	REVIEWED DRAFT JANUARY OPERATING REPORT.	0.5	\$245.00



Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount
02/12/19	PF	REVIEW EMAIL FROM T. BANICH REGARDING MONTHLY OPERATING REPORT INFORMATION.(1) REVIEW SAME (.2).	0.3	\$69.00
02/13/19	PF	REVISE OPERATING REPORT AND CIRCULATE SAME.	0.5	\$115.00
02/19/19	TGB	REVIEWED DRAFT JANUARY OPERATING REPORT.	0.5	\$245.00
02/19/19	PF	ASSEMBLE EXECUTED OPERATING REPORT AND FORWARD SAME TO T. BANICH FOR REVIEW.	0.3	\$69.00
02/20/19	TGB	REVIEWED FINAL JANUARY OPERATING REPORT.	0.2	\$98.00
02/20/19	PF	CONFER WITH T. BANICH REGARDING JANUARY OPERATING REPORT (.1). FILE SAME. (.2).	0.3	\$69.00
03/04/19	PF	PREPARE FEBRUARY OPERATING REPORT.	0.9	\$207.00
03/05/19	PF	REVISE (.3) AND EMAIL FEBRUARY OPERATING TO J. PORADA FOR REVIEW AND SIGNATURE (.2).	0.5	\$115.00
03/11/19	TGB	REVIEWED DRAFT FEBRUARY OPERATING REPORT.	0.5	\$245.00
03/11/19	PF	ASSEMBLE OPERATING REPORT (.1) AND FORWARD TO T. BANICH FOR REVIEW (.1). CONFER WITH T. BANICH REGARDING REPORT (.1). FILE AND SAVE SAME (.2)	0.5	\$115.00
04/08/19	PF	PREPARE MARCH OPERATING REPORT.	1.9	\$437.00
04/16/19	PF	CONFER WITH T. BANICH REGARDING MARCH SIGNED OPERATING REPORT (.1). EMAIL DR. PORADA WITH REQUEST FOR SAME. (.1).	0.2	\$46.00
04/22/19	PF	ASSEMBLE OPERATING REPORT AND EMAIL TO T. BANICH FOR REVIEW AND FILING.	0.4	\$92.00
04/23/19	PF	FOLLOW UP WITH T. BANICH REGARDING REVIEW AND FILING OF MARCH OPERATING REPORT.	0.2	\$46.00
04/25/19	PF	CONFER WITH T. BANICH REGARDING FILING OPERATING REPORT (.1) FILE SAME. (.3).	0.4	\$92.00
05/07/19	PF	REVISE AND FORWARD APRIL OPERATING TO DR. PORADA.	0.4	\$92.00
05/15/19	PF	RESEND OPERATING REPORT TO DR. PORADA FOR REVIEW AND SIGNATURE.	0.2	\$46.00
05/21/19	TGB	CONFERRED WITH P. FREDERICKS RE STATUS OF APRIL OPERATING REPORT.	0.2	\$98.00
05/21/19	PF	REVIEW UPDATED INFORMATION FOR OPERATING REPORT(.1). REVISE SAME. (.5). EMAIL TO DR. PORADA FOR REVIEW AND SIGNATURE (.2).	0.8	\$184.00
05/28/19	PF	CONFER WITH T. BANICH REGARDING OPERATING REPORT.	0.2	\$46.00

Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount
05/30/19	PF	PHONE DR. PORADA REGARDING NEED FOR APPROVAL TO FILE OPERATING REPORT.	0.2	\$46.00
06/04/19	PF	CONFER WITH T. BANICH REGARDING OPERATING REPORT AND AN WHEN PROCEEDS FROM SALE OF CONDO WILL BE REFLECTED.	0.3	\$75.00
06/05/19	PF	FILE APRIL 2019 OPERATING REPORT AND CIRCULATE FILED STAMPED COPY OF SAME (.5). CONFER WITH T. BANICH AND ACCOUNTING DEPARTMENT REGARDING CHECK FOR PROCEEDS FROM SALE OF CONDO AND EMAIL DR. PORADA REGARDING SAME (.7)	1.2	\$300.00
06/06/19	TGB	REVIEWED MONTHLY OPERATING REPORT AND DISCUSSED SAME WITH P. FREDERICKS.	0.2	\$103.00
06/06/19	PF	DRAFT MAY OPERATING REPORT (1.8). EMAIL SAME TO DR. PORADA FOR REVIEW AND SIGNATURE (.2). REVIEW RESPONSE FROM DR. PORADA REGARDING UNCASHED CHECK FOR PROCEEDS FROM SALE OF CONDO (.1). CONFER WITH T. BANICH REGARDING SAME (.2).	2.3	\$575.00
06/18/19	PF	CONFER WITH T. BANICH REGARDING REVIEW AND FILING OF MAY OPERATING REPORT.	0.2	\$50.00
07/16/19	PF	PREPARE JUNE OPERATING REPORT.	1.9	\$475.00
07/17/19	PF	CONFER WITH T. BANICH REGARDING JUNE OPERATING REPORT (.2). REVISE SAME (.3). EMAIL SAME TO J. PORADA FOR REVIEW AND SIGNATURE (.1).	0.6	\$150.00
07/22/19	PF	REVIEW SIGNED OPERATING REPORT AND FORWARD SAME TO T. BANICH FOR REVIEW.	0.3	\$75.00
07/23/19	PF	CONFER WITH T. BANICH REGARDING OPERATING REPORT (.2). FILE SAME (.3).	0.5	\$125.00
08/08/19	PF	DRAFT JULY OPERATING REPORT (1.5) AND FORWARD SAME TO J. PORADA FOR REVIEW AND SIGNATURE (.1).	1.6	\$400.00
08/14/19	PF	ASSEMBLE JULY OPERATING REPORT AND FORWARD TO T. BANICH FOR REVIEW.	0.3	\$75.00
08/15/19	PF	FILE OPERATING REPORT,	0.4	\$100.00
09/09/19	PF	PREPARE AUGUST OPERATING REPORT. EMAIL SAME TO J. PORADA FOR REVIEW AND SIGNATURE.	1.6	\$400.00
09/12/19	PF	ASSEMBLE AND FILE AUGUST OPERATING REPORT.	0.4	\$100.00
<b>SUBTOTAL: OPERATING REPORTS</b>			<b>27.6</b>	<b>\$7,859.00</b>

**PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

01/14/19	TGB	CONFERRED WITH S. TOWBIN RE PLAN	0.3	\$147.00
----------	-----	----------------------------------	-----	----------

Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount	
		CONCEPTS.			
01/15/19	AJG	CONFERENCE WITH T. BANICH RE: CHAPTER 11 PLAN.	0.3	\$147.00	
01/15/19	TGB	CONFERRED WITH A. GUON RE PLAN CONCEPTS AND ALTERNATIVES FOR CONFIRMATION.	0.3	\$147.00	
01/18/19	AJG	REVIEWED SCHEDULES FOR PLAN.	0.6	\$294.00	
01/21/19	AJG	REVIEWED SCHEDULES AND STARTED PREPARATION OF PLAN (1.4); ANALYSIS OF PAYMENT OPTIONS FOR POTENTIAL PLAN (1.1); CONFERENCE WITH T. BANICH RE: SAME (.1).	2.6	\$1,274.00	
<u>01/21/19</u>	<u>TGB</u>	<u>TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH A. GUON RE PLAN CONCEPTS.</u>	<u>0.3</u>	<u>\$147.00</u>	(2) Typo - reduced to 0.1 per Guon entry for same conference
01/21/19	DRD	CONFER WITH GUON REGARDING PLAN PROVISIONS AND CHAPTER 11 CONFIRMATION REQUIREMENTS.	0.2	\$74.00	
03/20/19	TGB	CONFERRED WITH S. TOWBIN RE PLAN CONCEPTS VS. POTENTIAL CONVERSION TO CHAPTER 7.	0.3	\$147.00	
05/24/19	TGB	REVIEWED CLAIMS DOCKET AND CONSIDERED STRUCTURE OF CHAPTER 11 PLAN IN PREPARATION FOR MAY 25 MEETING WITH J. PORADA AND S. TOWBIN.	2.5	\$1,225.00	
06/11/19	TGB	CONFERENCES WITH S. TOWBIN RE PLAN CONCEPTS IN PREPARATION FOR MEETING WITH J. PORADA (.2); REVIEWED CODE SECTIONS AND FORMS APPLICABLE TO CALCULATION OF DISPOSABLE INCOME FOR PURPOSES OF SECTION 1129(A)(15) (1.0);	1.2	\$618.00	
06/12/19	DRD	CONFER WITH T. BANICH REGARDING DRAFTING OF CHAPTER 11 PLAN, CURRENT STATUS OF CASE, LIKELY PLAN PROVISIONS, AND POSSIBLE SETTLEMENT OF ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.3	\$118.50	
07/01/19	AJG	CONFERENCE WITH T. BANICH RE: PLAN PAYMENT TERMS (.4); BEGAN DRAFTING PLAN (1.3).	1.8	\$936.00	
07/02/19	AJG	REVISED AND FINALIZED FIRST DRAFT OF PLAN OF REORGANIZATION FOR CLIENT.	2.3	\$1,196.00	
07/08/19	TGB	REVIEWED AND EDITED PROPOSED CHAPTER 11 PLAN (.8); CONFERRED WITH A. GUON RE SAME (.3).	1.1	\$566.50	
07/09/19	AJG	REVISED PLAN OF REORGANIZATION (.8); CONFERENCE WITH T. BANICH RE: REVISED TERMS AND DISCHARGE (.2); CONFERENCE WITH S. TOWBIN RE: DISCHARGE ISSUES (.2); PREPARED DISCLOSURE STATEMENT INCLUDING REVIEW OF SCHEDULES (3.1); CONFERENCE WITH T. BANICH RE: SAME (.2).	4.5	\$2,340.00	
07/09/19	SBT	CONFER WITH A. GUON REGARDING PLAN	0.3	\$240.00	

Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount
		ISSUES.		
07/09/19	TGB	REVIEWED FURTHER DRAFT OF PLAN AND CONFERENCES WITH A. GUON RE EDITS TO SAME, CREDITOR BODY AND FILED CLAIMS.	0.7	\$360.50
07/10/19	AJG	REVIEWED AND COMMENTED ON REVISIONS TO DISCLOSURE STATEMENT.	0.3	\$156.00
07/10/19	TGB	DRAFTED AND EDITED INSERT TO DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (1.5); CONFERRED WITH A. GUON RE SAME (.3); DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE SAME (.2).	2.0	\$1,030.00
07/11/19	AJG	REVIEWED REQUEST FOR INFORMATION FROM CLIENT AND DISCUSSED SAME WITH T. BANICH.	0.1	\$52.00
09/03/19	SBT	EMAIL EXCHANGE WITH T. BANICH REGARDING DR. PORADA PLAN COMMENTS AND REVIEW SAME.	0.4	\$320.00
09/04/19	AJG	CONFERENCES (2) WITH T. BANICH RE: REVISIONS TO PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT AND LIQUIDATION ANALYSIS (.2); REVIEWED SCHEDULES AND PREPARED LIQUIDATION ANALYSIS (2.6); REVISED PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (.3); EMAIL TO CLIENT RE: SAME (.5); REVIEWED INCOME STATEMENT AND UPDATED PLAN TO REFLECT ADDITIONAL INCOME (.3).	3.9	\$2,028.00
09/04/19	TGB	MULTIPLE TELEPHONE CONFERENCES WITH A. GUON RE PLAN AND LIQUIDATION ANALYSIS (.4); REVIEWED LIQUIDATION ANALYSIS (.2); REVIEWED A. GUON'S CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE PLAN, LIQUIDATION ANALYSIS AND OPTIONS GOING FORWARD (.3); REVIEWED FAX FROM J. PORADA RE UPDATED INCOME PROJECTIONS (.2).	1.1	\$566.50
09/09/19	TGB	DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE STATUS OF TAX ANALYSIS FOR DISCLOSURE STATEMENT/LIQUIDATION ANALYSIS (.1); CONFERRED WITH A. GUON RE LAST CONVERSATION WITH J. PORADA RE QUESTIONS/COMMENTS TO DRAFT PLAN AND EXPECTED FAXED COMMENTS/EDITS FROM J. PORADA RE SAME (.2).	0.3	\$154.50
<b>SUBTOTAL: PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT</b>			<b>27.7</b>	<b>\$14,284.50</b>
<b><u>PREPETITION LITIGATION</u></b>				
01/03/19	TGB	EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. WORTHEN AND T. ELLIOTT RE TASKS MOVING FORWARD IN LIGHT OF APPEAL DECISION.	0.2	\$98.00
01/25/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. ELLIOTT RE APPEAL STRATEGIES AND PLAN PROCESS GOING FORWARD.	0.6	\$294.00

Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount
03/19/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. ELLIOTT RE STATE COURT PROCEEDINGS UPDATE.	0.3	\$147.00
03/21/19	TGB	REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE AND STIPULATION IN STATE COURT LITIGATION RE ADDITIONAL APPELLATE FEES (.1); TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. ELLIOTT RE SAME (.2).	0.3	\$147.00
05/30/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH T. ELLIOTT RE DUPAGE COUNTY LITIGATION INVOLVING THE DEBTOR AND STATUS OF PLAN PROCESS.	0.3	\$147.00
<b>SUBTOTAL: PREPETITION LITIGATION</b>			<b>1.7</b>	<b>\$833.00</b>
<b><u>PROCEDURAL MOTIONS/STATUS HEARINGS</u></b>				
01/09/19	TGB	ATTENDED STATUS HEARING IN FISHER ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.3	\$147.00
<u>01/24/19</u>	<u>TGB</u>	<u>BEGAN DRAFTING MOTION FOR AUTHORITY TO SELL CONDO.</u>	<u>0.7</u>	<u>\$343.00</u> (1) Unreasonable - reduced by 50%
01/25/19	AJG	PREPARED AND REVISED MOTION TO FIX BAR DATE INCLUDING PROPOSED BAR DATE NOTICE AND PROPOSED ORDER.	1.2	\$588.00
<u>01/25/19</u>	<u>TGB</u>	<u>CONFERRED WITH A. GUON RE BACKGROUND FOR CLAIMS BAR DATE MOTION (.3); CONTINUED DRAFTING, EDITING AND RESEARCHING MOTION TO APPROVE SALE OF CONDO FREE AND CLEAR AND FOR RELATED RELIEF (5.7)..</u>	<u>6.0</u>	<u>\$2,940.00</u> (1) Unreasonable - reduced by 50%
01/28/19	TGB	EDITED DRAFTED MOTION TO SET CLAIMS BAR DATE, NOTICE OF BAR DATE AND PROPOSED ORDER SETTING CLAIMS BAR DATE (3.7); TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH A. GUON RE BAR DATE MOTION (.2).	3.9	\$1,911.00
<u>01/29/19</u>	<u>TGB</u>	<u>CONTINUED DRAFTING AND EDITING MOTION TO SELL CONDO (1.2); DRAFTED AND EDITED PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO SELL CONDO (2.1).</u>	<u>3.3</u>	<u>\$1,617.00</u> (1) Unreasonable - reduced by 50%
<u>01/31/19</u>	<u>TGB</u>	<u>EDITED SALE MOTION AND PROPOSED ORDER TO PROVIDE FORMS OF ADDITIONAL RELIEF (1.2); LEGAL RESEARCH RE SCOPE OF RULE 70(A) IN CONNECTION WITH CLOSING DOCUMENT EXECUTION (.9).</u>	<u>2.1</u>	<u>\$1,029.00</u> (1) Unreasonable - reduced by 50%
<u>02/01/19</u>	<u>TGB</u>	<u>EDITED, FINALIZED AND FILED MOTION TO SELL CONDO FREE AND CLEAR.</u>	<u>1.2</u>	<u>\$588.00</u> (1) Unreasonable - reduced by 50%
<u>02/04/19</u>	<u>TGB</u>	<u>DRAFTED AND FILED AMENDED PROOF OF SERVICE OF CONDO CASE MOTION.</u>	<u>0.2</u>	<u>\$98.00</u> (1) Unreasonable - reduced by 50%
<u>02/05/19</u>	<u>TGB</u>	<u>REVIEWED BAR DATE MOTION, NOTICE OF BAR DATE AND PROPOSED ORDER IN PREPARATION FOR HEARING ON MOTION (.6); ATTEND HEARING ON BAR-DATE MOTION (.1); TRAVEL TO/FROM COURT TO ATTEND HEARING ON BAR-DATE MOTION (.6); PER</u>	1.7	\$833.00 (3) Local Travel

Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount	
		COURT ORDER, DRAFTED REVISED ORDER SETTING BAR DATE (.1); DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO COURT SUBMITTING SAME (.3).			
02/08/19	TGB	REVIEWED ENTERED BAR-DATE ORDER.	0.1	\$49.00	
02/11/19	TGB	WORKED ON SERVICE OF BAR-DATE ORDER ON ALL CREDITORS.	0.3	\$147.00	
02/12/19	TGB	REVIEWED AND EDITED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE OF BAR-DATE ORDER.	0.2	\$98.00	
<u>02/13/19</u>	<u>TGB</u>	<u>REVIEWED SALE MOTION AND PREPARED FOR HEARING ON SAME (.6); APPEARED IN COURT ON MOTION TO SELL CONDO AND STATUS IN FISHER ADVERSARY (.4); EDITED SALE ORDER PER COURT DIRECTIVE AT HEARING AND DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO COURT SUBMITTING SAME (.6).</u>	<u>1.6</u>	<u>\$784.00</u>	
<u>02/14/19</u>	<u>TGB</u>	<u>REVIEWED ENTERED ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO SELL CONDO.</u>	<u>0.2</u>	<u>\$98.00</u>	
<u>03/20/19</u>	<u>TGB</u>	<u>REVIEWED FEE APPLICATION IN PREPARATION FOR HEARING ON SAME (.8); ATTENDED HEARING ON FEE APPLICATION AND STATUS IN FISHER ADVERSARY.</u>	<u>1.1</u>	<u>\$539.00</u>	(4) No Benefit to Estate
<u>03/21/19</u>	<u>TGB</u>	<u>REVIEWED FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW RE FEE APPLICATION AND CALCULATED AMOUNTS PAYABLE TO FIRM AND REFUNDABLE TO J. PORADA.</u>	<u>0.5</u>	<u>\$245.00</u>	(4) No Benefit to Estate
03/26/19	DRD	COMMUNICATIONS WITH BANICH REGARDING COVERAGE OF HEARING.	0.1	\$37.00	(5) Overhead
<u>04/01/19</u>	<u>TGB</u>	<u>DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO C. SANFELIPPO RE HEARING IN FISHER ADVERSARY ON APRIL 2.</u>	<u>0.1</u>	<u>\$49.00</u>	(5) Overhead
<u>04/01/19</u>	<u>DRD</u>	<u>COMMUNICATIONS WITH C. SANFELIPPO, T. BANICH AND A. GUON REGARDING COVERAGE ISSUES.</u>	<u>0.5</u>	<u>\$185.00</u>	(5) Overhead
<u>05/14/19</u>	<u>TGB</u>	<u>PREPARED FOR HEARING IN FISHER ADVERSARY (.5); ATTENDED SAME (.2); TRAVEL TO/FROM COURT FOR HEARING (.5).</u>	<u>1.2</u>	<u>\$588.00</u>	(3) Local Travel
<u>05/21/19</u>	<u>TGB</u>	<u>ATTENDED STATUS HEARING ON CHAPTER 11 CASE, AND CONFERRED WITH COUNSEL FOR US TRUSTEE AND CHING/HEATH CREDITORS RE SAME AND TIMING OF FILING DISCLOSURE STATEMENT/PLAN (.3); TRAVELED TO/FROM COURT FOR HEARING (.6).</u>	0.9	<u>\$441.00</u>	(3) Local Travel
06/06/19	TGB	DRAFTED PROPOSED ORDER ON PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT DEADLINE (.2); DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO COURT ATTACHING SAME, PER COURT'S DRAFT ORDER TO FOLLOW PROCEDURES (.2).	0.4	\$206.00	
06/07/19	TGB	REVIEWED ENTERED SCHEDULING ORDER.	0.1	\$51.50	
<u>06/19/19</u>	<u>TGB</u>	<u>ATTENDED STATUS HEARING IN FISHER ADVERSARY (.2); TRAVELED TO/FROM COURT</u>	1.0	<u>\$515.00</u>	(3) Local Travel

Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount	
		<u>FOR HEARING IN FISHER ADVERSARY (.8).</u>			
07/11/19	TGB	DRAFTED AND EDITED MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT, AND PROPOSED ORDER ON SAME.	0.8	\$412.00	
07/15/19	TGB	DRAFTED, EDITED AND FILED AMENDED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE PLAN/DISCLOSURE STATEMENT DUE TO SURGERY.	0.9	\$463.50	
<u>07/17/19</u>	<u>TGB</u>	ATTENDED HEARING ON MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT AND STATUS IN FISHER ADVERSARY (.3); <u>TRAVEL TO/FROM COURT FOR HEARING (.8).</u>	1.1	<u>\$566.50</u>	(3) Local Travel
07/18/19	TGB	REVIEWED ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO EXTEND TIME (.1); DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. PORADA RE SAME (.1).	0.2	\$103.00	
09/10/19	TGB	DRAFTED AND EDITED MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL AND PROPOSED ORDER FOR SAME (.8); DRAFTED AND EDITED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT AND PROPOSED ORDER FOR SAME (.8); EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH K. MORGAN RE TRANSITION OF REPRESENTATION AND MOTIONS TO WITHDRAW AND EXTENSION OF TIME (.1); DRAFTED AND FILED AMENDED PROOFS OF SERVICE FOR BOTH MOTIONS (.4).	2.1	\$1,081.50	
09/18/19	SBT	ATTEND HEARING ON MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE PLAN AND MOTION TO WITHDRAW.	1.5	\$1,200.00	
<b>SUBTOTAL: PROCEDURAL MOTIONS/STATUS HEARINGS</b>			<b>35.5</b>	<b>\$17,953.00</b>	
<b><u>TAX AND FINANCIAL MATTERS</u></b>					
01/14/19	TGB	EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. WORTHEN RE CHAPTER 11 NEXT STEPS.	0.1	\$49.00	
01/25/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. WORTHEN RE FINANCIAL MATTERS RE ESTATE.	0.6	\$294.00	
04/04/19	TGB	REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE FROM R. LYNCH WITH ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE RE CLAIM AND STATUS OF RETURNS FILED FOR PRIOR TAX YEARS (.1); DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. WORTHEN RE SAME (.1); REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE FROM J. PORADA AND J. WORTHEN RE SAME (.1).	0.3	\$147.00	
04/15/19	TGB	REVIEWED AND EXCHANGED CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. WORTHEN RE RECENT TAX INQUIRIES (.2); REVIEWED IRC SECTION 1398 IN CONNECTION WITH J. WORTHEN TAX INQUIRIES (.2).	0.4	\$196.00	

Date	Timekeeper	Description	Hours	Amount
04/22/19	TGB	REVIEWED CORRESPONDENCE FROM IL DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE RE UNFILED TAX RETURNS (.1); REVIEWED AND DRAFTED CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. PORADA AND J. WORTHEN RE SAME (.2).	0.3	\$147.00
07/12/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. WORTHEN RE TAX LIABILITY/REFUND FOR PURPOSES OF DISCLOSURE STATEMENT AND CLAIMS ANALYSIS (.6); DRAFTED LENGTHY SUMMARY OF CALL WITH J. WORTHEN TO S. TOWBIN AND A. GUON (.6).	1.2	\$618.00
07/15/19	TGB	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. WORTHEN RE TAX ISSUES.	0.7	\$360.50
		<b>SUBTOTAL: TAX AND FINANCIAL MATTERS</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>\$1,811.50</b>
		<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>273.9</b>	<b>\$121,836.50</b>

**TASK SUMMARY:**

Task Description	Hours	Total
COMMUNICATIONS WITH US TRUSTEE	1.7	\$858.00
COMMUNICATIONS/MEETINGS WITH DEBTOR	42.0	\$21,438.00
COUNTRY ACRES MATTERS	41.9	\$15,809.00
CREDITOR CLAIMS/COMMUNICATIONS	3.0	\$1,490.00
FEE APPLICATIONS	17.2	\$8,497.50
FISHER ADVERSARY	72.0	\$31,003.00
OPERATING REPORTS	27.6	\$7,859.00
PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT	27.7	\$14,284.50
PREPETITION LITIGATION	1.7	\$833.00
PROCEDURAL MOTIONS/STATUS HEARINGS	35.5	\$17,953.00
TAX AND FINANCIAL MATTERS	3.6	\$1,811.50



**TIMEKEEPER TIME SUMMARY:**

<b>Timekeeper</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Hours</b>	<b>Rate</b>	<b>Total</b>
T.G. BANICH	PARTNER	42.5	\$515.00	\$21,887.50
T.G. BANICH	PARTNER	130.3	\$490.00	\$63,847.00
T.G. BANICH	PARTNER	0.3	\$0.00	\$0.00
R.W. GLANTZ	PARTNER	0.6	\$550.00	\$330.00
S.B. TOWBIN	PARTNER	5.0	\$800.00	\$4,000.00
S.B. TOWBIN	PARTNER	1.5	\$750.00	\$1,125.00
J.L. GOLDSTONE	PARTNER	8.7	\$425.00	\$3,697.50
A.J. GUON	PARTNER	12.9	\$520.00	\$6,708.00
A.J. GUON	PARTNER	4.7	\$490.00	\$2,303.00
J.L. DEVROYE	ASSOCIATE	17.6	\$365.00	\$6,424.00
D.R. DOYLE	ASSOCIATE	0.3	\$395.00	\$118.50
D.R. DOYLE	ASSOCIATE	0.8	\$370.00	\$296.00
C.M. SANFELIPPO	ASSOCIATE	1.0	\$290.00	\$290.00
R. DAMON	PARALEGAL	13.1	\$200.00	\$2,620.00
P. FREDERICKS	PARALEGAL	11.6	\$250.00	\$2,900.00
P. FREDERICKS	PARALEGAL	23.0	\$230.00	\$5,290.00
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>273.9</b>		<b>\$121,836.50</b>
<b>TOTAL PROFESSIONAL SERVICES</b>				<b>\$121,836.50</b>

**COSTS ADVANCED AND EXPENSES INCURRED:**

**EXPENSE DETAIL:**

<b>Date</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Amount</b>
01/16/19	RECORD MORTGAGE KENDALL COUNTY RECORDER	\$49.00
01/16/19	RECORD MORTGAGE KENDALL COUNTY RECORDER	\$49.00
01/16/19	RECORD MORTGAGE KENDALL COUNTY RECORDER	\$49.00
01/16/19	RECORD MORTGAGE KENDALL COUNTY RECORDER	\$49.00
01/16/19	RECORD MORTGAGE KENDALL COUNTY RECORDER	\$49.00
01/16/19	RECORD MORTGAGE KENDALL COUNTY RECORDER	\$51.00
01/16/19	POSTAGE CHARGES	\$2.26
01/16/19	POSTAGE CHARGES	\$1.21
01/18/19	MESSENGER SERVICE/FEDERAL EXPRESS Invoice No: 643470970 Paid to: Fedex per 5333 Ship To: Maximilian Ortega Ship Dt: 01/14/19 Airbill: 784960185129	\$30.17
01/23/19	MISCELLANEOUS OUTSIDE SERVICES KENDALL COUNTY RECORDER This check is needed to record a lis pendens with the Kendall County Recorder.	\$49.00
01/23/19	TITLE SEARCH CHICAGO TITLE COMPANY LLC	\$150.00
01/23/19	POSTAGE CHARGES	\$0.94
01/25/19	MESSENGER SERVICE/FEDERAL EXPRESS Invoice No: 644119917 Paid to: Fedex per 5352 Ship To: Ship Dt: 01/16/19 Airbill: 785007339001	\$28.54
01/28/19	POSTAGE CHARGES	\$13.50
02/01/19	MESSENGER SERVICE/FEDERAL EXPRESS Invoice No: 644823040 Paid to: Fedex per 5352 Ship To: Ship Dt: 01/23/19 Airbill: 785101666225	\$28.89
02/01/19	POSTAGE CHARGES	\$13.50
02/01/19	COURT FILINGS WELLS FARGO CC DEBTORS MOTION TO AUTHORIZE SALE OF COUNTRY ACRES CONDOMINIUM OUTSIDE THE ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS, TO SHORTEN NOTICE AND FOR RELATED RELIEF.	\$181.00
02/08/19	MESSENGER SERVICE/FEDERAL EXPRESS Invoice No: 645502089 Paid to: Fedex per 5352 Ship To: Jim Stubblefield, Secretary Ship Dt: 02/04/19 Airbill: 785275199835	\$34.28
02/08/19	MESSENGER SERVICE/FEDERAL EXPRESS Invoice No: 645502089 Paid to: Fedex per 5352 Ship To: c o Sandra T Kahn Ship Dt: 02/04/19 Airbill: 785275179580	\$45.62
02/08/19	MESSENGER SERVICE/FEDERAL EXPRESS Invoice No: 645502089 Paid to: Fedex per 5352 Ship To: Joseph J Porada, Jr Ship Dt: 02/04/19 Airbill: 785275220731	\$34.28
02/08/19	MESSENGER SERVICE/FEDERAL EXPRESS Invoice No: 645502089 Paid to: Fedex per 5352 Ship To: Attn Goran Utvic Ship Dt: 02/04/19 Airbill: 785275242909	\$29.62

02/08/19	MESSENGER SERVICE/FEDERAL EXPRESS Invoice No: 645502089 Paid to: Fedex per 5352 Ship To: Attn President, Managing Agen Ship Dt: 02/04/19 Airbill: 785275271490	\$29.62	
02/08/19	MESSENGER SERVICE/FEDERAL EXPRESS Invoice No: 645502089 Paid to: Fedex per 5352 Ship To: Law Department Ship Dt: 02/04/19 Airbill: 785275285327	\$29.62	
02/11/19	POSTAGE CHARGES	\$16.00	
02/15/19	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES CITY OF DES PLAINES	\$25.00	
02/15/19	POSTAGE CHARGES	\$1.15	
03/08/19	POSTAGE CHARGES	\$1.60	
03/08/19	POSTAGE CHARGES	\$13.50	
03/13/19	POSTAGE CHARGES	\$1.00	
03/15/19	MESSENGER SERVICE/FEDERAL EXPRESS Invoice No: 649169922 Paid to: Fedex per 99999 Ship To: JENNIFER LA MELL GOLSDSTONE Ship Dt: 03/07/19 Airbill: 774649519754	\$11.66	
03/25/19	POSTAGE CHARGES	\$7.45	
03/26/19	POSTAGE CHARGES	\$1.00	
03/31/19	PUBLICATION/RESEARCH WEST PAYMENT CENTER Westlaw KM #205	\$33.70	
04/24/19	COSTS ADVANCED-FEDEX #0003469691	(\$126.73)	
05/30/19	MESSENGER SERVICE/FEDERAL EXPRESS-FEDEX #0003510	(\$11.11)	
05/30/19	MESSENGER SERVICE/FEDERAL EXPRESS-FEDEX #0003510	(\$11.11)	
06/18/19	MESSENGER SERVICE/FEDERAL EXPRESS- FEDEX- #00036	(\$11.11)	
06/18/19	MESSENGER SERVICE/FEDERAL EXPRESS- FEDEX- #00036	(\$11.11)	
06/18/19	MESSENGER SERVICE/FEDERAL EXPRESS- FEDEX- #36433	(\$11.57)	
06/18/19	MESSENGER SERVICE/FEDERAL EXPRESS- FEDEX- #36433	(\$11.57)	
06/18/19	MESSENGER SERVICE/FEDERAL EXPRESS- FEDEX- #36433	(\$11.57)	
06/18/19	MESSENGER SERVICE/FEDERAL EXPRESS- FEDEX- #36433	(\$11.57)	
06/18/19	MESSENGER SERVICE/FEDERAL EXPRESS- FEDEX- #36433	(\$11.57)	
07/11/19	POSTAGE CHARGES	\$14.00	
07/15/19	POSTAGE CHARGES	\$14.00	
09/19/19	TAXI/UBER - LOCAL TRAVEL EXPENSE STEVEN B. TOWBIN 9/18 ATTEND COURT	\$8.00	(3) Local Travel

**EXPENSE SUMMARY:**

<b>Description</b>	<b>Amount</b>
COURT FILINGS	\$181.00
MESSENGER SERVICE/FEDERAL EXPRESS	\$200.01
MISCELLANEOUS OUTSIDE SERVICES	\$49.00
POSTAGE CHARGES	\$101.11
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	\$25.00
PUBLICATION/RESEARCH	\$33.70
RECORD MORTGAGE	\$296.00
TAXI/UBER - LOCAL TRAVEL EXPENSE	\$8.00
TITLE SEARCH	\$150.00
COSTS ADVANCED	(\$126.73)
	TOTAL EXPENSES <u>\$917.09</u>
	<b>TOTAL BALANCE DUE UPON RECEIPT <u>\$122,753.59</u></b>